

## ON THE ADDITION OF A DIRAC MASS TO A $q$ -LAGUERRE-HAHN FORM

S. JBELI AND L. KHÉRIJI

ABSTRACT. Our goal is to study the addition of a Dirac mass to a  $H_q$ -Laguerre-Hahn form where  $H_q$  be the  $q$ -derivative operator. The  $H_q$ -Laguerre-Hahn character and the class of the obtained form is discussed into detail. An example in connection with the first order associated of a  $H_q$ -classical form is highlighted.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The addition of a Dirac mass to a regular and  $D$ -semiclassical form was studied by F. Marcellán and P. Maroni in [7] where  $D$  is the derivative operator. Later, F. Marcellán and E. Pranes have studied the addition problem of a  $D$ -Laguerre-Hahn form [8]. In [3], the basic theory of  $H_q$ -Laguerre-Hahn ( $q$ -Laguerre-Hahn in short) forms (linear functionals) and a few generic examples related to some standard transformations (association, co-recursion, inversion) of  $H_q$ -classical [4, 6] or more generally  $H_q$ -semiclassical  $q$ -polynomials [5] were studied, where  $H_q$  be the  $q$ -derivative operator (see also [2]).

So, the aim of this work is to construct some new  $q$ -Laguerre-Hahn forms of class greater to one from old one's by using the following standard perturbation

$$\check{u} = u + \lambda\delta_c,$$

or equivalently,

$$(x - c)\check{u} = \lambda(x - c)u,$$

where  $c$  is a complex number,  $\delta_c$  be the Dirac measure at  $c$  ( $\delta_0 := \delta$ ),  $\lambda$  a non null complex number and  $u$  be a  $q$ -Laguerre-Hahn form of class  $s$ . The  $q$ -Laguerre-Hahn character of  $\check{u}$  is studied for any complex  $c$ . The variation of the class is examined into detail for  $c = 0$  in order to avoid long calculations and an example in connection with the first associated of the natural  $q$ -analogue of Hermite is emphasized and provides two  $q$ -Laguerre-Hahn new forms of class 1 and 2 depending on the value of the parameter  $\lambda$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{P}$  the vector space of the polynomials with coefficients in  $\mathbb{C}$  and by  $\mathcal{P}'$  its dual space whose elements are forms. The action of  $u \in \mathcal{P}'$  on  $f \in \mathcal{P}$  is denoted as  $\langle u, f \rangle$ . In particular, we denote by  $(u)_n := \langle u, x^n \rangle$ ,  $n \geq 0$  the moments of  $u$ . For instance, for any form  $u$ , any polynomial  $g$  and any  $(a, c) \in (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{C}$ , we let  $H_q u$ ,  $g u$ ,  $h_a u$ ,  $Du$ ,  $(x - c)^{-1}u$  and  $\delta_c$ , be the forms defined as usually [9] and [4] for the results related to the operator  $H_q$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle H_q u, f \rangle &:= -\langle u, H_q f \rangle, \quad \langle g u, f \rangle := \langle u, g f \rangle, \quad \langle h_a u, f \rangle := \langle u, h_a f \rangle, \\ \langle D u, f \rangle &:= -\langle u, f' \rangle, \quad \langle (x - c)^{-1} u, f \rangle := \langle u, \theta_c f \rangle, \quad \langle \delta_c, f \rangle := f(c), \end{aligned}$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 33C45, 42C05.

*Key words and phrases.* Orthogonal  $q$ -polynomials,  $q$ -derivative operator,  $q$ -Laguerre-Hahn character, regular form, standard perturbations.

where for all  $f \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $q \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}} := \{z \in \mathbb{C}, z \neq 0, z^n \neq 1, n \geq 1\}$  [4]

$$(H_q f)(x) = \frac{f(qx) - f(x)}{(q-1)x}, \quad (h_a f)(x) = f(ax), \quad (\theta_c f)(x) = \frac{f(x) - f(c)}{x-c}.$$

In particular, this yields

$$(H_q u)_n = -[n]_q (u)_{n-1}, \quad n \geq 0,$$

where  $(u)_{-1} = 0$  and  $[n]_q := \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1}$ ,  $n \geq 0$  [4]. It is obvious that when  $q \rightarrow 1$ , we meet again the derivative  $D$ .

For  $f \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $u \in \mathcal{P}'$ , the product  $uf$  is the polynomial [9]

$$(uf)(x) := \left\langle u, \frac{xf(x) - \zeta f(\zeta)}{x - \zeta} \right\rangle = \sum_{i=0}^n \left( \sum_{j=i}^n (u)_{j-i} f_j \right) x^i,$$

where  $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n f_i x^i$ . This allows us to define the Cauchy's product of two forms:

$$\langle uv, f \rangle := \langle u, vf \rangle, \quad f \in \mathcal{P}.$$

The Stieltjes formal series of  $u \in \mathcal{P}'$  is defined by [9]

$$S(u)(z) := - \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(u)_n}{z^{n+1}}.$$

A form  $u$  is said to be regular whenever there is a sequence of monic polynomials  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ ,  $\deg P_n = n$ ,  $n \geq 0$  MPS such that  $\langle u, P_n P_m \rangle = r_n \delta_{n,m}$  with  $r_n \neq 0$  for any  $n, m \geq 0$ . In this case,  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is called a monic orthogonal polynomials sequence MOPS and it is characterized by the following three-term recurrence relation (Favard's theorem) (TTRR in short) [1, 9]

$$\begin{aligned} P_0(x) &= 1, \quad P_1(x) = x - \beta_0, \\ P_{n+2}(x) &= (x - \beta_{n+1})P_{n+1}(x) - \gamma_{n+1}P_n(x), \quad n \geq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where  $\beta_n = \frac{\langle u, xP_n^2 \rangle}{r_n} \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\gamma_{n+1} = \frac{r_{n+1}}{r_n} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $n \geq 0$ .

The shifted MOPS  $\{\hat{P}_n := a^{-n}(h_a P_n)\}_{n \geq 0}$  is then orthogonal with respect to  $\hat{u} = h_{a^{-1}}u$  and satisfies (1.1) with [9]

$$\hat{\beta}_n = \frac{\beta_n}{a}, \quad \hat{\gamma}_{n+1} = \frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{a^2}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Moreover, the form  $u$  is said to be normalized if  $(u)_0 = 1$ . In this paper, we suppose that any regular form will be normalized. The form  $u$  is said to be positive definite if and only if  $\beta_n \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\gamma_{n+1} > 0$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . When  $u$  is regular,  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is a symmetrical MOPS if and only if  $\beta_n = 0$ ,  $n \geq 0$  or equivalently  $(u)_{2n+1} = 0$ ,  $n \geq 0$  [1].

Given a regular form  $u$  and the corresponding MOPS  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ , we define the associated sequence of the first kind  $\{P_n^{(1)}\}_{n \geq 0}$  of  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  by [9]

$$P_n^{(1)}(x) = \left\langle u, \frac{P_{n+1}(x) - P_{n+1}(\xi)}{x - \xi} \right\rangle = (u\theta_0 P_{n+1})(x), \quad n \geq 0.$$

**Proposition 1.** [9] *Let  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  be a MOPS satisfying the TTRR (1.1), then its associated sequence  $\{P_n^{(1)}\}_{n \geq 0}$  satisfies the TTRR*

$$P_0^{(1)}(x) = 1, \quad P_1^{(1)}(x) = x - \beta_1,$$

$$P_{n+2}^{(1)}(x) = (x - \beta_{n+2})P_{n+1}^{(1)}(x) - \gamma_{n+2}P_n^{(1)}(x), \quad n \geq 0,$$

**Lemma 1.** [4, 5, 9] *For  $f, g \in \mathcal{P}$ ,  $u, v \in \mathcal{P}'$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ , we have*

$$h_a(gu) = (h_{a^{-1}}g)(h_a u), \quad h_a(uv) = (h_a u)(h_a v), \quad h_a \delta_c = \delta_{ac}, \quad f(x)\delta_c = f(c)\delta_c, \quad (2)$$

$$h_{q^{-1}} \circ H_q = H_{q^{-1}} \quad , \quad H_q \circ h_{q^{-1}} = q^{-1}H_{q^{-1}} \quad , \quad \text{in } \mathcal{P}, \quad (3)$$

$$h_{q^{-1}} \circ H_q = q^{-1}H_{q^{-1}} \quad , \quad H_q \circ h_{q^{-1}} = H_{q^{-1}} \quad , \quad \text{in } \mathcal{P}', \quad (4)$$

$$H_q(fg)(x) = (h_q f)(x)(H_q g)(x) + g(x)(H_q f)(x), \quad (5)$$

$$H_q(gu) = (h_{q^{-1}}g)H_q u + q^{-1}(H_{q^{-1}}g)u, \quad (6)$$

$$S(H_q u)(z) = q^{-1}(H_{q^{-1}}(S(u)))(z) \quad , \quad (h_{q^{-1}}S(u))(z) = qS(h_q u)(z), \quad (7)$$

$$H_q(gu) = gH_q u + (H_{q^{-1}}g)h_q u, \quad (8)$$

$$(x - \tau)^{-1}((x - \tau)u) = u - (u)_0 \delta_\tau, \quad (x - \tau)((x - \tau)^{-1}u) = u, \quad (9)$$

$$f(x^{-1}u) = x^{-1}(fu) + \langle u, \theta_0 f \rangle \delta, \quad (10)$$

$$f(uv) = (fu)v + x(u\theta_0 f)(x)v, \quad (11)$$

$$S(fu)(z) = f(z)S(u)(z) + (u\theta_0 f)(z). \quad (12)$$

We will give now some future about the  $q$ -Laguerre-Hahn character.

**Definition 1.** [3] *A form  $u$  is called  $q$ -Laguerre-Hahn when it is regular and satisfies the  $q$ -difference equation*

$$H_q(\Phi u) + \Psi u + B(x^{-1}u(h_q u)) = 0, \quad (13)$$

where  $\Phi, \Psi, B$  are polynomials, with  $\Phi$  monic. The corresponding orthogonal sequence  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is called  $q$ -Laguerre-Hahn MOPS.

**Remark 1.** 1. When  $B = 0$  and the form  $u$  is regular then  $u$  is  $H_q$ -semiclassical [5].

2. When  $u$  satisfies (13), then  $\hat{u} = h_{a^{-1}}u$  fulfills the  $q$ -difference equation [3]

$$H_q(a^{-\deg \Phi} \Phi(ax)\hat{u}) + a^{1-\deg \Phi} \Psi(ax)\hat{u} + a^{-\deg \Phi} B(ax)(x^{-1}\hat{u}(h_q \hat{u})) = 0. \quad (14)$$

3. Put  $t = \deg \Phi$ ,  $p = \deg \Psi$ ,  $r = \deg B$  and  $d = \max(t, r)$ , we define the class of  $u$  the nonnegative integer  $s$  [3]

$$s = \min \max(p - 1, d - 2)$$

where the minimum is taken over all triplets  $(\Phi, \Psi, B)$  satisfying (13). Moreover, the  $q$ -Laguerre-Hahn form  $u$  satisfying (13) is of class  $s = \max(p - 1, d - 2)$  if and only if

$$\prod_{c \in \mathcal{Z}_\Phi} \left\{ |q(h_q \Psi)(c) + (H_q \Phi)(c)| + |q(h_q B)(c)| + \left| \left\langle u, q(\theta_{cq} \Psi) + (\theta_{cq} \circ \theta_c \Phi) + q(h_q u(\theta_0 \circ \theta_{cq} B)) \right\rangle \right| \right\} > 0, \quad (15)$$

where  $\mathcal{Z}_\Phi$  is the set of roots of  $\Phi$  [3]. When  $c \in \mathcal{Z}_\Phi$  and (13) may be simplified by  $x - c$ , then (13) becomes

$$H_q((\theta_c \Phi)u) + (q\theta_{cq} \Psi + \theta_{cq} \circ \theta_c \Phi)u + q(\theta_{cq} B)(x^{-1}u(h_q u)) = 0. \quad (16)$$

**Proposition 2.** [3] *Let  $u$  be a regular form. the following statement are equivalents:*

(a)  $u$  belongs to the  $q$ -Laguerre-Hahn class, satisfying (13).

(b) *The Stieltjes formal series  $S(u)$  satisfies the  $q$ -Riccati equation*

$$(h_{q^{-1}}\Phi)(z)H_{q^{-1}}(S(u))(z) = B(z)S(u)(z)(h_{q^{-1}}S(u))(z) + C(z)S(u)(z) + D(z), \quad (17)$$

where  $\Phi$  and  $B$  are polynomials defined in (13) and

$$\begin{cases} C(z) = -(H_{q^{-1}}\Phi)(z) - q\Psi(z) \\ D(z) = -\{H_{q^{-1}}(u\theta_0\Phi)(z) + q(u\theta_0\Psi)(z) + q(uh_q u)(\theta_0^2 B)(z)\}. \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

Moreover,  $u$  is of class  $s$  if and only if

$$\prod_{c \in \mathbb{Z}_\Phi} \left\{ |B(cq)| + |C(cq)| + |D(cq)| \right\} > 0, \quad (19)$$

and one may write

$$s = \max(\deg B - 2, \deg C - 1, \deg D). \quad (20)$$

Lastly, the following results and notations will be needed in the sequel.

**Lemma 2.** [3] *If  $u$  be a  $q$ -Laguerre-Hahn form of class  $s$  fulfilling (13) such that its Stieltjes formal series  $S(u)$  satisfies (17), then the associated form  $u^{(1)}$  is  $q$ -Laguerre-Hahn of the same class  $s$  fulfilling (21) and its Stieltjes formal series  $S(u^{(1)})$  satisfying (22) where*

$$H_q(\Phi^{(1)}u^{(1)}) + \Psi^{(1)}u^{(1)} + B^{(1)}(x^{-1}u^{(1)}(h_q u^{(1)})) = 0, \quad (21)$$

$$(h_{q^{-1}}\Phi^{(1)})(z)H_{q^{-1}}(S(u^{(1)}))(z) =$$

$$B^{(1)}(z)S(u^{(1)})(z)(h_{q^{-1}}S(u^{(1)}))(z) + C^{(1)}(z)S(u^{(1)})(z) + D^{(1)}(z), \quad (22)$$

with

$$\begin{cases} K\Phi^{(1)}(x) = \Phi(x) + (q-1)x\{(qx - \beta_0)(h_q D)(x) - (h_q C)(x)\}, \\ K\Psi^{(1)}(x) = -\{q^{-1}(H_{q^{-1}}\Phi)(x) + q^{-1}(q^{-1}x - \beta_0)D(x) + (qx - \beta_0)(h_q D)(x) - (h_q C)(x)\}, \\ KB^{(1)}(x) = \gamma_1 D(x), \\ KC^{(1)}(x) = ((q^{-1} + 1)x - 2\beta_0)D(x) - C(x), \\ KD^{(1)}(x) = \gamma_1^{-1}\{B(x) + (q^{-1}x - \beta_0)(x - \beta_0)D(x) - (q^{-1}x - \beta_0)C(x) - (h_{q^{-1}}\Phi)(x)\}, \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

and  $K$  is a normalization constant.

The quantum factorial symbol is defined by [4]

$$(x; q)_0 = 1, \quad (x; q)_n = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (1 - xq^k), \quad x, q \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (24)$$

## 2. THE ADDITION OF A DIRAC MASS PROBLEM: THE $q$ -LAGUERRE-HAHN CASE

Let  $u$  be a regular form and  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  its MOPS satisfying the TTRR (1). Let  $\check{u} \in \mathcal{P}'$  such that

$$\check{u} = u + \lambda\delta_c, \quad \lambda, c \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (25)$$

or equivalently,

$$(x - c)\check{u} = \lambda(x - c)u. \quad (26)$$

It is seen in [7] that  $\check{u}$  is regular, if and only if,  $\lambda \neq \lambda_n$ ,  $n \geq 0$  where

$$\lambda_n = -\left(\sum_{\nu=0}^n \frac{P_\nu^2(c)}{\tau_\nu}\right)^{-1}, \quad \tau_n = \prod_{\nu=0}^n \gamma_\nu, \quad n \geq 0, \quad \gamma_0 := 1. \quad (27)$$

In this case, denoting  $\{\check{P}_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  its MOPS and  $\check{\beta}_n, \check{\gamma}_{n+1}, n \geq 0$  the recurrence elements of its TTRR, we have [7]

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (x-c)\check{P}_{n+1}(x) = P_{n+2}(x) + b_{n+1}P_{n+1}(x) + \frac{d_{n+1}}{d_n}P_n(x), \quad n \geq 0, \\ b_0 = \beta_0 - c, \quad b_{n+1} = \beta_{n+1} - c - \lambda \frac{P_n(c)P_{n+1}(c)}{d_n}, \quad n \geq 0, \\ \check{\beta}_n = \beta_{n+1} + b_n - b_{n+1}, \quad n \geq 0, \\ \check{\gamma}_{n+1} = \gamma_n \frac{d_{n+1}d_{n-1}}{d_n^2}, \quad n \geq 0, \quad d_0 = 1 + \lambda, \quad d_{-1} := 1, \quad \check{\gamma}_0 = 1 + \lambda. \end{array} \right. \quad (28)$$

with

$$d_n = \left( \prod_{\nu=0}^n \gamma_\nu \right) \left( 1 + \lambda \sum_{\nu=0}^n \frac{P_\nu^2(c)}{\tau_\nu} \right), \quad n \geq 0. \quad (29)$$

Moreover [7],

- ▷ when  $u$  is positive definite and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , then the form  $\check{u}$  is positive definite for any  $\lambda > 0$  and regular for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus ]-\infty, 0[$ .
- ▷ When  $u$  is symmetric regular and real, then for any  $c, \lambda$  such that  $\Re(c) = 0, \Im(\lambda) \neq 0$ , the form  $\check{u}$  is regular.

**2.1. The  $q$ -Laguerre-Hahn character of  $\check{u}$ .** From now on, let  $u$  be a  $q$ -Laguerre-Hahn form of class  $s$  satisfying (13) and its corresponding MOPS  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  fulfilling the TTRR (1). We suppose that  $\lambda \neq \lambda_n, n \geq 0$ . Consequently, the form  $\check{u}$  defined by (25) is regular. We are going to study the  $q$ -Laguerre-Hahn character of  $\check{u}$  and the variation of its class  $\check{s}$  according to that of  $u$ .

**Proposition 3.** *The regular form  $\check{u}$  is  $q$ -Laguerre-Hahn of class  $\check{s}$  such that  $s - 2 \leq \check{s} \leq s + 2$  and satisfying the  $q$ -difference equation*

$$H_q(\check{\Phi}(x)\check{u}) + \check{\Psi}(x)\check{u} + \check{B}(x)(x^{-1}\check{u}(h_q\check{u})) = 0, \quad (30)$$

with

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} K\check{\Phi}(x) = q(x-c)\{(qx-c)\check{\Phi}(x) + \lambda(q-1)xB(qx)\}, \\ K\check{\Psi}(x) = (x-c)\{(x-cq)\check{\Psi}(x) - (1+q)\check{\Phi}(x) - \lambda(B(x) + qB(qx))\}, \\ K\check{B}(x) = (x-c)(x-cq)B(x). \end{array} \right. \quad (31)$$

In addition, its Stieltjes formal series  $S(\check{u})$  satisfies the  $q$ -Riccati equation

$$(h_{q^{-1}}\check{\Phi})(z)H_{q^{-1}}(S(\check{u}))(z) = \check{B}(z)S(\check{u})(z)(h_{q^{-1}}S(\check{u}))(z) + \check{C}(z)S(\check{u})(z) + \check{D}(z), \quad (32)$$

where

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} K\check{\Phi}(z) = q(z-c)\{(qz-c)\check{\Phi}(z) + \lambda(q-1)zB(qz)\}, \\ K\check{B}(z) = (z-c)(z-cq)B(z), \\ K\check{C}(z) = \{(z-c)(z-cq)C(z) + \lambda((1+q)z - 2cq)B(z)\}, \\ K\check{D}(z) = \{(z-c)(z-cq)D(z) + q\lambda^2B(z) + \lambda(z-cq)C(z) + \lambda(h_{q^{-1}}\check{\Phi})(z)\}. \end{array} \right. \quad (33)$$

*Proof.* Accordingly to (25) and the third formula in (2), the  $q$ -difference equation (13) is equivalent to

$$H_q(\check{\Phi}(x)(\check{u} - \lambda\delta_c)) + \check{\Psi}(x)(\check{u} - \lambda\delta_c) + B(x)(x^{-1}(\check{u} - \lambda\delta_c)((h_q\check{u}) - \lambda\delta_{cq})) = 0. \quad (34)$$

Multiplying (34) by  $(x-c)(x-cq)$ , we have for the second term and the first one of the obtained  $q$ -difference equation,

$$(x-c)(x-cq)\check{\Psi}(x)(\check{u} - \lambda\delta_c) = (x-c)(x-cq)\check{\Psi}(x)\check{u},$$

$$\begin{aligned} (x-c)(x-cq)H_q(\Phi(x)(\check{u}-\lambda\delta_c)) &= H_q(q(x-c)(qx-c)\Phi(x)(\check{u}-\lambda\delta_c)) \\ &\quad - (1+q)(x-c)\Phi(x)(\check{u}-\lambda\delta_c) \\ &= H_q(q(x-c)(qx-c)\Phi(x)\check{u}) - (1+q)(x-c)\Phi(x)\check{u}, \end{aligned}$$

thanks to (6) and the fact that  $(x-c)\delta_c = 0$ .

To simplify the third term  $(x-c)(x-cq)B(x)(x^{-1}(\check{u}-\lambda\delta_c)((h_q\check{u})-\lambda\delta_{cq}))$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (x-c)(x-cq)(x^{-1}(\check{u}-\lambda\delta_c)((h_q\check{u})-\lambda\delta_{cq})) &= \\ &= (x-c)(x-cq)\left(x^{-1}\check{u}h_q\check{u}-\lambda x^{-1}(\delta_ch_q\check{u}+\check{u}\delta_{cq})+\lambda^2 x^{-1}\delta_c\delta_{cq}\right) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} (x-c)(x-cq)\left(x^{-1}\delta_ch_q\check{u}\right) &= x^{-1}\left((x-c)(x-cq)(\delta_ch_q\check{u})\right) + \langle\delta_ch_q\check{u}, x-c(1+q)\rangle\delta \\ &= x^{-1}\left(x(x-cq)h_q\check{u}\right) + \langle h_q\check{u}, x-cq\rangle\delta \\ &= (x-cq)h_q\check{u} - ((x-cq)h_q\check{u})_0\delta + \langle h_q\check{u}, x-cq\rangle\delta = (x-cq)h_q\check{u}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (x-c)(x-cq)\left(x^{-1}\check{u}\delta_{cq}\right) &= x^{-1}\left((x-c)(x-cq)(\delta_{cq}\check{u})\right) + \langle\delta_{cq}\check{u}, x-c(1+q)\rangle\delta \\ &= x^{-1}\left(x(x-c)\check{u}\right) + \langle\check{u}, x-c\rangle\delta \\ &= (x-c)\check{u} - ((x-c)\check{u})_0\delta + \langle\check{u}, x-c\rangle\delta = (x-c)\check{u}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (x-c)(x-cq)\left(x^{-1}\delta_c\delta_{cq}\right) &= x^{-1}\left((x-c)(x-cq)(\delta_c\delta_{cq})\right) + \langle\delta_c\delta_{cq}, x-c(1+q)\rangle\delta \\ &= x^{-1}\left(x(x-cq)\delta_{cq}\right) + \langle\delta_{cq}, x-cq\rangle\delta = 0, \end{aligned}$$

since (2), (9)-(11) and definitions. Therefore, the third term of the obtained  $q$ -difference equation becomes

$$(x-c)(x-cq)B(x)(x^{-1}(\check{u}-\lambda\delta_c)((h_q\check{u})-\lambda\delta_{cq})) =$$

$$(x-c)(x-cq)B(x)(x^{-1}\check{u}h_q\check{u}) - \lambda(x-cq)B(x)h_q\check{u} - \lambda(x-c)B(x)\check{u}. \quad (35)$$

Now, combining (6) and (8) this allows to deduce that

$$h_q\check{u} = (1-q)H_q(x\check{u}) + \check{u}. \quad (36)$$

Injecting (36) in (35) and thanks to (6) another time leads to

$$\begin{aligned} (x-c)(x-cq)B(x)(x^{-1}(\check{u}-\lambda\delta_c)((h_q\check{u})-\lambda\delta_{cq})) &= (x-c)(x-cq)B(x)(x^{-1}\check{u}h_q\check{u}) \\ &\quad - \lambda\left\{q(1-q)H_q(x(x-c)B(qx)\check{u}) + (x-c)(B(x)+qB(qx))\check{u}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we obtain (30)-(31). Moreover, on account of (31) and the definition of the class, it is easy to see that  $\check{s} \leq s+2$ . The multiplication of (17) by  $(z-c)(z-cq)$ , while using (5) and the formula (see (12) for  $f(z) = z-c$ )  $S((z-c)u) = (z-c)S(u)+1$ , give

$$\begin{aligned} h_{q^{-1}}(q^2(z-c)(z-cq^{-1})\Phi)(z)H_{q^{-1}}(S(\check{u}))(z) &= (z-c)(z-cq)B(z)S(\check{u})(z)(h_{q^{-1}}S(\check{u}))(z) \\ &\quad + S(\check{u})(z)\{(z-c)(z-cq)C(z)q\lambda(z-c)B(z)\} + \lambda(z-cq)B(z)(h_{q^{-1}}S(\check{u}))(z) \\ &\quad + \{q\lambda^2B(z) + \lambda(z-cq)C(z) + (z-c)(z-cq)D(z) + \lambda(h_{q^{-1}}\Phi)(z)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

But, from (7)-(8) we have

$$(h_{q^{-1}}S(\check{u}))(z) = qS(h_q\check{u})(z) = S(\check{u})(z) - (1-q^{-1})z(H_{q^{-1}}S(\check{u}))(z). \quad (38)$$

By replacing (38) in (37), we obtain the desired result (32)-(33). Lastly, we have from definitions  $\check{t} = \deg \check{\Phi} \leq \max(\deg \Phi + 2, \deg B + 2) \leq s+4$ ,  $\check{p} = \deg \check{\Psi} \leq \max(\deg \Psi + 2, \deg \Phi + 1, \deg B + 1) \leq s+3$ ,  $\check{r} = \deg \check{B} = \deg B + 2 \leq s+4$ . Then,  $\check{d} = \max(\check{t}, \check{r}) \leq s+4$ ,  $\check{s} = \max(\check{p}-1, \check{d}-2) \leq s+2$ . On the other hand, since  $u = \check{u} - \lambda\delta_c$  is a regular form we deduce from the above result that  $s \leq \check{s}+2$ . Therefore,  $s-2 \leq \check{s}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\check{u}$  the  $q$ -Laguerre-Hahn form which its Stieltjes formal series satisfies (32)-(33).*

- (1) *For any root  $\tau$  of  $\check{\Phi}$  such that  $\tau \neq c$  and  $\tau \neq cq^{-1}$ , the equation (32) with (33) cannot be simplified by  $z - \tau q$ .*
- (2) *If  $\tau$  is a root of  $\check{\Phi}$  such that  $\tau = c$ , the equation (32) with (33) cannot be simplified by  $z - cq$  if and only if*

$$|cq(q-1)B(qc)| + |q\lambda B(qc) + \Phi(c)| \neq 0. \quad (39)$$

- (3) *If  $\tau$  is a root of  $\check{\Phi}$  such that  $\tau = cq^{-1}$ , the equation (32) with (33) cannot be simplified by  $z - c$  if and only if*

$$|c(1-q)B(c)| + |q\lambda B(c) + \Phi(cq^{-1}) + c(1-q)C(c)| \neq 0. \quad (40)$$

*Proof.* (1) Let  $\tau$  be a root of the polynomial  $\check{\Phi}$ , such that  $\tau \neq c$  and  $\tau \neq cq^{-1}$ . If  $\check{B}(q\tau) = \check{C}(q\tau) = \check{D}(q\tau) = 0$ , then  $B(q\tau) = 0$ , which gives from the expression of  $\check{\Phi}$ ,  $\Phi(\tau) = 0$ . Therefore  $\Phi(\tau) = 0$  since  $\tau \neq c$  and  $\tau \neq cq^{-1}$ . Now, since  $B(q\tau) = (h_{q^{-1}}\check{\Phi})(q\tau) = \Phi(\tau) = 0$  we have  $C(q\tau) = 0$ . Next, from the expression of  $\check{D}$ , we see that  $D(q\tau) = 0$  since  $\check{D}(q\tau) = B(q\tau) = C(q\tau) = 0$  and  $\Phi(\tau) = 0$ . Thus,  $B(q\tau) = C(q\tau) = D(q\tau) = 0$ . From (17), we deduce then a contradiction since  $u$  is a  $q$ -Laguerre-Hahn form of class  $s$ . (2)-(3) If  $c$  is a root of  $\check{\Phi}$ , then  $\check{B}(qc) = 0$ ,  $K\check{C}(qc) = \lambda cq(q-1)B(qc)$  and  $\check{D}(qc) = q\lambda^2 B(qc) + \lambda\Phi(c)$  and if  $cq^{-1}$  is a root of  $\check{\Phi}$ , then  $\check{B}(c) = 0$ ,  $K\check{C}(c) = \lambda c(q-1)B(c)$  and  $K\check{D}(c) = q\lambda^2 B(c) + \lambda c(1-q)C(c) + \lambda\Phi(cq^{-1})$ . Thus, the results in (39)-(40) are consequences of (17).  $\square$

**2.2. The class of  $\check{u}$  when  $c = 0$ .** Now,  $\check{u} = u + \lambda\delta$  with  $\lambda \neq \lambda_n$ ,  $n \geq 0$ . From Proposition 3. and Lemma 3., we have

- ( $c_{2,1}$ ) The regular form  $\check{u}$  is  $q$ -Laguerre-Hahn form of class  $\check{s}$  such that  $s-2 \leq \check{s} \leq s+2$  and satisfying the  $q$ -difference equation

$$H_q(\check{\Phi}(x)\check{u}) + \check{\Psi}(x)\check{u} + \check{B}(x)(x^{-1}\check{u}(h_q\check{u})) = 0, \quad (41)$$

with

$$\begin{cases} K\check{\Phi}(x) = qx^2\{q\Phi(x) + \lambda(q-1)B(qx)\}, & K\check{B}(x) = x^2B(x), \\ K\check{\Psi}(x) = x\{x\Psi(x) - (1+q)\Phi(x) - \lambda(B(x) + qB(qx))\}. \end{cases} \quad (42)$$

In addition, its Stieltjes formal series  $S(\check{u})$  satisfies the  $q$ -Riccati equation

$$(h_{q^{-1}}\check{\Phi})(z)H_{q^{-1}}(S(\check{u}))(z) = \check{B}(z)S(\check{u})(z)(h_{q^{-1}}S(\check{u}))(z) + \check{C}(z)S(\check{u})(z) + \check{D}(z), \quad (43)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} K\check{\Phi}(z) = qz^2\{q\Phi(z) + \lambda(q-1)B(qz)\}, & K\check{B}(z) = z^2B(z), \\ K\check{C}(z) = z\{zC(z) + \lambda(1+q)B(z)\}, \\ K\check{D}(z) = z^2D(z) + q\lambda^2B(z) + \lambda zC(z) + \lambda(h_{q^{-1}}\check{\Phi})(z). \end{cases} \quad (44)$$

- ( $c_{2,2}$ ) For any root  $\tau$  of  $\check{\Phi}$  such that  $\tau \neq 0$ , the equation (43) with (44) cannot be simplified by  $z - \tau$ .

- ( $c_{2,3}$ ) If  $\tau$  is a root of  $\check{\Phi}$  such that  $\tau = 0$ , the equation (43) with (44) cannot be simplified by  $z$  if and only if

$$|q\lambda B(0) + \Phi(0)| \neq 0. \quad (45)$$

**Theorem 1.** *The form  $\check{u} = u + \lambda\delta$  when it is regular is  $q$ -Laguerre-Hahn of class  $\check{s}$  fulfilling (41)-(42) and its Stieltjes formal series  $S(\check{u})$  satisfies the  $q$ -Riccati equation (43) with (44). Moreover,*

- (1) *If  $q\lambda B(0) + \Phi(0) \neq 0$ , then  $\check{s} = s+2$ . In this case,  $S(\check{u})$  satisfies the  $q$ -Riccati equation*

(43) with (44).

(2) If  $(q\lambda B(0) + \Phi(0) = 0, B(0) \neq 0)$  or  $(q\lambda B(0) + \Phi(0) = 0, C(0) + q\lambda B'(0) + q^{-1}\Phi(0) \neq 0)$ , then  $\check{s} = s + 1$ . In this case,  $S(\check{u})$  satisfies the  $q$ -Riccati equation

$$(h_{q^{-1}}\check{\Phi}_{0,1})(z)H_{q^{-1}}(S(\check{u}))(z) = \check{B}_{0,1}(z)S(\check{u})(z)(h_{q^{-1}}S(\check{u}))(z) + \check{C}_{0,1}(z)S(\check{u})(z) + \check{D}_{0,1}(z), \quad (46)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} K\check{\Phi}_{0,1}(z) = z\{q^2\Phi(z) + \lambda q(q-1)B(qz)\}, & K\check{B}_{0,1}(z) = zB(z), \\ K\check{C}_{0,1}(z) = zC(z) + \lambda(1+q)B(z), \\ K\check{D}_{0,1}(z) = zD(z) + q\lambda^2 B_{0,1}(z) + \lambda C(z) + \lambda q^{-1}(h_{q^{-1}}\Phi_{0,1})(z). \end{cases} \quad (47)$$

(3) If  $((B(0), \Phi(0)) = (0, 0), C(0) + q\lambda B'(0) = 0, B'(0) \neq 0)$  or  $((B(0), \Phi(0)) = (0, 0), C(0) + q\lambda B'(0) = 0, D(0) + \lambda C'(0) + \frac{q\lambda^2}{2}B''(0) + \frac{q^{-2}\lambda}{2}\Phi''(0) \neq 0)$ , then  $\check{s} = s$ . In this case,  $S(\check{u})$  satisfies the  $q$ -Riccati equation

$$(h_{q^{-1}}\check{\Phi}_{0,2})(z)H_{q^{-1}}(S(\check{u}))(z) = \check{B}_{0,2}(z)S(\check{u})(z)(h_{q^{-1}}S(\check{u}))(z) + \check{C}_{0,2}(z)S(\check{u})(z) + \check{D}_{0,2}(z), \quad (48)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} K\check{\Phi}_{0,2}(z) = q^2\Phi(z) + \lambda q(q-1)B(qz), & K\check{B}_{0,2}(z) = B(z), \\ K\check{C}_{0,2}(z) = C(z) + \lambda(1+q)B_{0,1}(z), \\ K\check{D}_{0,2}(z) = D(z) + q\lambda^2 B_{0,2}(z) + \lambda C_{0,1}(z) + \lambda q^{-2}(h_{q^{-1}}\Phi_{0,2})(z). \end{cases} \quad (49)$$

(4) If  $((B(0), \Phi(0)) = (C(0), B'(0)) = (0, 0), D(0) + \lambda C'(0) + \frac{q\lambda^2}{2}B''(0) + \frac{q^{-2}\lambda}{2}\Phi''(0) = 0, \frac{\lambda(1+q)}{2}B''(0) + C'(0) \neq 0)$  or  $((B(0), \Phi(0)) = (C(0), B'(0)) = (0, 0), D(0) + \lambda C'(0) + \frac{q\lambda^2}{2}B''(0) + \frac{q^{-2}\lambda}{2}\Phi''(0) = 0, D'(0) + \frac{\lambda}{2}C''(0) + \frac{q\lambda^2}{3!}B'''(0) + \frac{q^{-3}\lambda}{3!}\Phi'''(0) \neq 0)$ , then  $\check{s} = s - 1$ . In this case,  $S(\check{u})$  satisfies the  $q$ -Riccati equation

$$(h_{q^{-1}}\check{\Phi}_{0,3})(z)H_{q^{-1}}(S(\check{u}))(z) = \check{B}_{0,3}(z)S(\check{u})(z)(h_{q^{-1}}S(\check{u}))(z) + \check{C}_{0,3}(z)S(\check{u})(z) + \check{D}_{0,3}(z), \quad (50)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} K'\check{\Phi}_{0,3}(z) = \{q^2\Phi_{0,1}(z) + \lambda q^2(q-1)B(qz)\}, & K'\check{B}_{0,3}(z) = B_{0,1}(z), \\ K'\check{C}_{0,3}(z) = C_{0,1}(z) + \lambda(1+q)B_{0,1}(z), \\ K'\check{D}_{0,3}(z) = D_{0,1}(z) + q\lambda^2 B_{0,3}(z) + \lambda C_{0,2}(z) + \lambda q^{-3}(h_{q^{-1}}\Phi_{0,1})(z). \end{cases} \quad (51)$$

(5) If  $((B(0), \Phi(0)) = (C(0), B'(0)) = (0, 0), D(0) - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}B''(0) + \frac{q^{-2}\lambda}{2}\Phi''(0) = 0, D'(0) + \frac{\lambda}{2}C''(0) + \frac{q\lambda^2}{3!}B'''(0) + \frac{q^{-3}\lambda}{3!}\Phi'''(0) = 0)$ , then  $\check{s} = s - 2$ . In this case,  $S(\check{u})$  satisfies the  $q$ -Riccati equation

$$(h_{q^{-1}}\check{\Phi}_{0,4})(z)H_{q^{-1}}(S(\check{u}))(z) = \check{B}_{0,4}(z)S(\check{u})(z)(h_{q^{-1}}S(\check{u}))(z) + \check{C}_{0,4}(z)S(\check{u})(z) + \check{D}_{0,4}(z), \quad (52)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} K''\check{\Phi}_{0,4}(z) = q^2\Phi_{0,2}(z) + \lambda q^3(q-1)B_{0,2}(qz), & K''\check{B}_{0,4}(z) = B_{0,2}(z), \\ K''\check{C}_{0,4}(z) = C_{0,2}(z) + \lambda(1+q)B_{0,3}(z), \\ K''\check{D}_{0,4}(z) = D_{0,2}(z) + q\lambda^2 B_{0,4}(z) + \lambda C_{0,3}(z) + \lambda q^{-4}(h_{q^{-1}}\Phi_{0,4})(z), \end{cases} \quad (53)$$

and for  $0 \leq i \leq 3$ ,

$$\begin{cases} \Phi_{0,i}(z) = z\Phi_{0,i+1}(z) + t_{0,i+1}, & \Phi_{0,0} = \Phi, & B_{0,i}(z) = zB_{0,i+1}(z) + s_{0,i+1}, & B_{0,0} = B, \\ C_{0,i}(z) = zC_{0,i+1}(z) + q_{0,i+1}, & C_{0,0} = C, & D_{0,i}(z) = zD_{0,i+1}(z) + p_{0,i+1}, & D_{0,0} = D. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* On account of  $(c_{2,1})$  we have  $s - 2 \leq \check{s} \leq s + 2$  and  $S(\check{u})$  satisfies the  $q$ -Riccati equation (43) with (44). Therefore,

(1) if  $q\lambda B(0) + \Phi(0) \neq 0$ , then  $\check{s} = s + 2$  since  $(c_{2,2}) - (c_{2,3})$  and  $S(\check{u})$  satisfies the  $q$ -Riccati equation (43)-(44).

(2) If  $q\lambda B(0) + \Phi(0) = 0$ , we have 0 is a root of  $\check{\Phi}$ , so the equation (43) with (44) is



simplified by  $z$  and  $S(\tilde{u})$  satisfies the Riccati equation (46)-(47). In this case, according to (19), the equation (46) with (47) cannot be simplified, if and only if,

$$|B(0)| + |C(0) + q\lambda B'(0) + q^{-1}\Phi(0)| \neq 0.$$

(2.1) If  $B(0) \neq 0$  or  $C(0) + q\lambda B'(0) + q^{-1}\Phi(0) \neq 0$ , then  $\tilde{s} = s + 1$ .

(2.2) If  $B(0) = 0$  and  $C(0) + q\lambda B'(0) + q^{-1}\Phi(0) = 0$ , it is easy to see that 0 is a root of  $\Phi_{0,1}$ , so the equation (46)-(47) is simplified by  $z$  and  $S(\tilde{u})$  satisfies the  $q$ -Riccati equation (48)-(49). In this case, according to (19), the equation (48) with (49) cannot be simplified, if and only if,

$$|\lambda(1+q)B'(0) + C(0)| + |D(0) + \lambda C'(0) + \frac{q\lambda^2}{2}B''(0) + \frac{q^{-2}\lambda}{2}\Phi''(0)| \neq 0.$$

(2.2.1) If  $\lambda(1+q)B'(0) + C(0) \neq 0$  or  $D(0) + \lambda C'(0) + \frac{q\lambda^2}{2}B''(0) + \frac{q^{-2}\lambda}{2}\Phi''(0) \neq 0$ , then  $\tilde{s} = s$ .

(2.2.2) If  $\lambda(1+q)B'(0) + C(0) = 0$  and  $D(0) + \lambda C'(0) + \frac{q\lambda^2}{2}B''(0) + \frac{q^{-2}\lambda}{2}\Phi''(0) = 0$ , we have  $\Phi(0) = B(0) = 0$ , then 0 is a root of  $\Phi_{0,2}$ . Consequently, the equation (48) with (49) is simplified by  $z$  and  $S(\tilde{u})$  satisfies the  $q$ -Riccati equation (50) with (51). In this case, according to (19), the equation (50) with (51) cannot be simplified, if and only if,

$$|B'(0)| + |\frac{\lambda(1+q)}{2}B''(0) + C'(0)| + |D'(0) + \frac{\lambda}{2}C''(0) + \frac{q\lambda^2}{3!}B'''(0) + \frac{q^{-3}\lambda}{3!}\Phi'''(0)| \neq 0.$$

There are two subcases:

(2.2.2.1) If  $B'(0) \neq 0$  or  $\frac{\lambda(1+q)}{2}B''(0) + C'(0) \neq 0$  or  $D'(0) + \frac{\lambda}{2}C''(0) + \frac{q\lambda^2}{3!}B'''(0) + \frac{q^{-3}\lambda}{3!}\Phi'''(0) \neq 0$ , then  $\tilde{s} = s - 1$ .

(2.2.2.2) If  $B'(0) = 0$ ,  $\frac{\lambda(1+q)}{2}B''(0) + C'(0) = 0$ ,  $D'(0) + \frac{\lambda}{2}C''(0) + \frac{q\lambda^2}{3!}B'''(0) + \frac{q^{-3}\lambda}{3!}\Phi'''(0) = 0$ , then  $\tilde{s} = s - 2$  and  $S(\tilde{u})$  satisfies the  $q$ -Riccati equation (52) with (53). The theorem is then proved.  $\square$

**Remark 2.** When  $q \rightarrow 1$ , we recover the results established in [8].

**Example 1.** First of all, let us recall  $\mathbf{H}(q)$  the natural  $q$ -analogue of the Hermite form which is symmetric  $H_q$ -classical and  $\{H_n(\cdot; q)\}_{n \geq 0}$  be its MOPS. We have [4, 6]

$$\gamma_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2} q^n [n+1]_q, H_q(\mathbf{H}(q)) + 2x\mathbf{H}(q) = 0, H_{q^{-1}}(S(\mathbf{H}(q)))(z) = -2qzS(\mathbf{H}(q))(z) - 2q. \quad (54)$$

From (54), for  $0 < q < 1$ , the form  $\mathbf{H}(q)$  is positive definite. Denoting  $\mathbf{H}^{(1)}(q)$  its first associated form and  $\{H_n^{(1)}(\cdot; q)\}_{n \geq 0}$  its MOPS. On account of Proposition 1., Proposition 2. and Lemma 2., the symmetric form  $\mathbf{H}^{(1)}(q)$  is  $q$ -Laguerre-Hahn of class  $s = 0$  fulfilling

$$\begin{cases} \beta_n^{(1)} = 0, \quad \gamma_{n+1}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} q^{n+1} [n+2]_q, \quad n \geq 0, \\ H_q(\mathbf{H}^{(1)}(q)) + 2q^{-1}x\mathbf{H}^{(1)}(q) - q(x^{-1}\mathbf{H}^{(1)}(q)(h_q\mathbf{H}^{(1)}(q))) = 0, \\ H_{q^{-1}}(S(\mathbf{H}^{(1)}(q)))(z) = -qS(\mathbf{H}^{(1)}(q))(z)(h_{q^{-1}}S(\mathbf{H}^{(1)}(q))(z) - 2zS(\mathbf{H}^{(1)}(q))(z) - 2. \end{cases} \quad (55)$$

Moreover, from (55) and (20) we have  $H_0^{(1)}(0; q) = 1$ ,  $H_{2n+1}^{(1)}(0; q) = 0$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , and

$$H_{2n+2}^{(1)}(0; q) = (-1)^{n+1} \prod_{k=0}^n \gamma_{2k+1}^{(1)} = \frac{(-1)^{n+1} q^{(n+1)^2} (q^2; q^2)_{n+1}}{2^{n+1} (1-q)^{n+1}}, \quad n \geq 0. \quad (56)$$

Now, from the fact that  $\mathbf{H}^{(1)}(q)$  is also positive definite for  $0 < q < 1$ , then  $\tilde{u} = \mathbf{H}^{(1)}(q) + \lambda\delta$  is positive definite for  $0 < q < 1$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . Moreover, thanks to the coefficients of the  $q$ -Riccati equation in (55), we have  $B^{(1)}(0) = -q$ ,  $\Phi^{(1)}(0) = 1$  and  $q\lambda B^{(1)}(0) + \Phi^{(1)}(0) = -q^2\lambda + 1$ . Two cases arise.

$\triangleright$   $q\lambda B^{(1)}(0) + \Phi^{(1)}(0) \neq 0$ , equivalently  $\lambda \neq q^{-2}$ . From the point (1) of Theorem 1., we deduce that for  $0 < q < 1$  and for all  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\lambda \neq q^{-2}$ , the positive definite form  $\tilde{u} = \mathbf{H}^{(1)}(q) + \lambda\delta$  is  $q$ -Laguerre-Hahn of class  $\tilde{s} = 2$ . On the other hand, thanks to (56)

and put  $\varpi_n(\lambda, q) := \left(1 + \lambda \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q^2; q^2)_k}{(q^3; q^2)_k} q^{-k}\right)$ ,  $n \geq 0$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $0 < q < 1$ , we get for (27)-(29) and (43)-(44),

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tau_n = \frac{q^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} (q^2; q)_n}{2^n (1-q)^n}, \quad b_n = 0, \quad \check{\beta}_n = 0, \quad n \geq 0, \\ d_{2n} = \frac{q^{n(2n+1)} (q^2; q)_{2n}}{2^{2n} (1-q)^{2n}} \varpi_n(\lambda, q), \quad d_{2n+1} = \frac{q^{(n+1)(2n+1)} (q^2; q)_{2n+1}}{2^{2n+1} (1-q)^{2n+1}} \varpi_n(\lambda, q), \quad n \geq 0, \\ \check{\gamma}_0 = 1 + \lambda, \quad \check{\gamma}_{2n+2} = \frac{1}{2} q^{2n+2} [2n+3]_q \frac{\varpi_{n+1}(\lambda, q)}{\varpi_n(\lambda, q)}, \quad n \geq 0, \\ \check{\gamma}_1 = \frac{q(1+q)}{2(1+\lambda)}, \quad \check{\gamma}_{2n+1} = \frac{1}{2} q^{2n+1} [2n+2]_q \frac{\varpi_{n-1}(\lambda, q)}{\varpi_n(\lambda, q)}, \quad n \geq 1, \\ q^{-2} z^2 H_{q^{-1}}(S(\check{u}))(z) = -q^{-1} (1 - \lambda(q-1))^{-1} z^2 S(\check{u})(z) (h_{q^{-1}} S(\check{u}))(z) \\ \quad - q^{-2} (1 - \lambda(q-1))^{-1} z (2z^2 + \lambda q(1+q)) S(\check{u})(z) \\ \quad \quad \quad + q^{-2} (1 - \lambda(q-1))^{-1} (-2(1+\lambda)z^2 + \lambda(1-q^2\lambda)). \end{array} \right.$$

$\triangleright q\lambda B^{(1)}(0) + \Phi^{(1)}(0) = 0$ , equivalently  $\lambda = q^{-2}$ . Moreover, it is seen that  $B^{(1)}(0) = -q \neq 0$ . Consequently, the first condition in (2) of Theorem 1. is valid and for  $0 < q < 1$ ,  $\lambda = q^{-2}$ , the positive definite form  $\check{u} = \mathbf{H}^{(1)}(q) + q^{-2}\delta$  is  $q$ -Laguerre-Hahn of class  $\check{s} = 1$  satisfying

$$q^{-1} z H_{q^{-1}}(S(\check{u}))(z) = -q(q^2 - q + 1)^{-1} z S(\check{u})(z) (h_{q^{-1}} S(\check{u}))(z) \\ - q^{-1} (q^2 - q + 1)^{-1} (2qz^2 + 1 + q) S(\check{u})(z) - 2q^{-2} (1 + q^2) (q^2 - q + 1)^{-1} z.$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] T. S. Chihara, *An introduction to orthogonal polynomials*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1978.
- [2] M. Foupouagnigni, A. Ronveaux, *Difference equation for the co-recursive  $r$ th associated orthogonal polynomials of the  $D_q$ -Laguerre-Hahn class*, J. Comp. Appl. Math., 153 (2003), 213-223.
- [3] A. Ghressi, L. Khérijji, M. Ihsen Tounsi, *An introduction to the  $q$ -Laguerre-Hahn orthogonal  $q$ -polynomials*, SIGMA 7 (2011), 092, 20 pages.
- [4] L. Khérijji, P. Maroni, *The  $H_q$ -Classical Orthogonal Polynomials*, Acta. Appl. Math., 71 (1) (2002), 49-115.
- [5] L. Khérijji, *An introduction to the  $H_q$ -semiclassical orthogonal polynomials*, Methods Appl. Anal., 10 (3) (2003), 387-411.
- [6] L. Khérijji, P. Maroni, *On the natural  $q$ -analogues of the classical orthogonal polynomials*, Eurasian Math. J., 4 (2) (2013), 82-103.
- [7] F. Marcellán, P. Maroni, *Sur l'adjonction d'une masse de Dirac à une forme régulière et semi-classique*, Ann. Mat. Pura ed Appl., 162 (IV) (1992), 1-22.
- [8] F. Marcellán, E. Prianes, *Perturbations of Laguerre-Hahn Linear Functionals*, J. Comp. Appl. Math., 105 (1999), 109-128.
- [9] P. Maroni, *Une théorie algébrique des polynômes orthogonaux. Application aux polynômes orthogonaux semi-classiques*. In Orthogonal polynomials and their applications (*Erice, 1990*), C. Brezinski et al Editors, 95-130, IMACS Ann. Comput. Appl. Math., 9, Baltzer, Basel, 1991.

UNIVERSITÉ DE TUNIS EL MANAR,  
FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES DE TUNIS,  
CAMPUS UNIVERSITAIRE EL MANAR, 2092 TUNIS, TUNISIA. LR13ES06.  
E-mail address: jbelisobhi@gmail.com

UNIVERSITÉ DE TUNIS EL MANAR,  
INSTITUT PRÉPARATOIRE AUX ETUDES D'INGÉNIEUR EL MANAR,  
CAMPUS UNIVERSITAIRE EL MANAR, 2092 TUNIS, TUNISIA. LR13ES06.  
E-mail address: kheriji@yahoo.fr