TJMM 11 (2019), No. 1-2, 171-181

ON SOME COMMON FIXED POINTS THEOREMS IN INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY METRIC SPACES AND ITS APPLICATIONS

RAJINDER SHARMA AND DEEPTI THAKUR

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we established some common fixed point theorems for pairs of semi compatible and occasionally weakly compatible mappings in an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space (briefly IFM space) satisfying contractive type condition. In this paper, we observe that the notion of common property (E.A.) relatively relaxes the required containment of the range of one mapping into the range of other which is utilized to construct the sequence of joint iterates. We extended the results established in [13] to intuitionistic fuzzy metric space.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is no doubt true that the concept of fuzzy set given by Zadeh [37] helped other researchers to introduce and develop the fuzzy metric in distinct forms. Credit for further development goes to Grabiec [10] who extended two fixed point theorems of Banach [6] and Edelstein [9] for contractive mappings of complete and compact fuzzy metric space in the sense of Kramosil and Michalek [19]. Thereafter, George and Veeramani ([11, 12]) modified the concept of fuzzy metric space given by Kramosil and Michalek [19] and defined a Hausdroff topology on it.

Attansov ([3, 4]) generalized the fuzzy metric space to intuitionistic fuzzy metric space and proved some common fixed point theorems for the same. Park [24] in 2004, using the idea of intuitionistic fuzzy sets defines the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy metric space with the help of continuous t-norm and t-conorm as a generalization of fuzzy metric space due to George and Veermani ([11, 12]). Various authors proved common fixed point theorems in different spaces by taking under consideration the mappings satisfying contractive type of conditions. Majority of the results comprises of either commuting or weak commutativity mappings introduced by Sessa [27] while establishing common fixed point results. In 1994, Mishra et al. [23] extended the notion of compatible maps introduced by Jungck et al. [16] in metric space under the name of asymptotically commuting maps to fuzzy metric spaces. Sharma et.al. ([29, 30]) introduced and studied the concept of common fixed point for weakly and multivalued mappings in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces. In 2002, Aamir and Moutawakil [5] established a couple of new common fixed point theorems under strict contractive conditions via (E.A.) property. Pant and Pant [25] explored the new horizons by establishing the common fixed points theorems for a pair of non compatible maps and the property E.A in fuzzy metric space. For more details, we refer to (Alaca et al. [1], Anderson et al. [2], Deng [7], Erceg[8], Grabiec [10], Jungck [14], Kaleva and Seikkala [18], Kubiaczyk and Sharma [20], Jungck ([14, 15]), Jungck and Rhoades [17], Schweizer and Skaler[26], Sessa [27], Sharma and Bamboria [28], Sharma and Deshpande [31], Thagafi

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 47H10, 54H25.

Key words and phrases. fixed point , intuitionistic fuzzy metric space, semi compatible maps , occasionally weakly compatible mappings, (E.A) property.

and Shahzad [33], Singh and Jain [34], Turkoglu et. al. [35]), Manro et.al. [22], Pant [25] and Sharma et. al. [29]) respectively.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 1. [26] A binary operation $*: [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is continuous t-norm if *is satisfying the following conditions:

$$*$$
 is commutative and associative, (1)

$$* is continuous,$$
 (2)

$$a * 1 = a, \forall a \in [0, 1],$$
 (3)

$$a * b \le c * d whenever a \le c and b \le d, \forall a, b, c, d \in [0, 1].$$

$$(4)$$

Definition 2. [26] A binary operation $\Diamond : [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is continuous t-norm if \Diamond is satisfying the following conditions:

$$\Diamond$$
 is commutative and associative, (5)

$$\diamond is continuous,$$
 (6)

$$a \Diamond 0 = a, \forall a \in [0, 1],\tag{7}$$

$$a\Diamond b \le c\Diamond d \text{ whenever } a \le c \text{ and } b \le d, \forall a, b, c, d \in [0, 1].$$

$$(8)$$

Remark 1. The concept of triangular norms (t norms) and triangular conorms (tconorms) are known as the axiomatic sekeltons that we use for characterizing fuzzy intersections and unions respectively. These concepts were originally introduced by Menger [21] in his study of Statistical metric spaces.

Definition 3. [1] A 5-tuple $(X, M, N, *, \Diamond)$ is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces if X is an arbitrary set, * is a continuous t-norm, \Diamond is a continuous t-conorm and M, N are fuzzy sets on $X^2 \times [0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:

 $\forall x, y, z \in X \text{ and } t, s > 0,$

$$M(x, y, t) + N(x, y, t) \le 1,$$
(9)

$$M(x, y, 0) = 0, (10)$$

$$M(x, y, t) = 1, \forall t > 0 \ iff \ x = y, \tag{11}$$

$$M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t),$$

$$(12)$$

$$M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \le M(x, z, t+s),$$
 (13)

$$M(x, y, \iota) * M(y, z, s) \leq M(x, z, \iota + s),$$

$$M(x, y, \iota) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1] \text{ is left continuous,}$$

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} M(x, y, t) = 1,$$
(15)

$$\to \infty M(x, y, t) = 1, \tag{15}$$

$$N(x, y, 0) = 1, (16)$$

$$N(x, y, t) = 0, \forall t > 0 \ iff \ x = y, \tag{17}$$

$$N(x, y, t) = N(y, x, t),$$
(18)

- $N(x, y, t) \Diamond N(y, z, s) > N(x, z, t+s),$ (19)
- $N(x, y, .) : [0, \infty) \to [0, 1]$ is right continuous, (20)

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} N(x, y, t) = 0. \tag{21}$$

Then (M, N) is called an intuitionistic fuzzy metric on X. The functions M(x, y, t)and N(x, y, t) denote the degree of nearness and the degree of non-nearness between x and y with respect to t, respectively.

Remark 2. Every fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) is an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space of the form $(X, M, 1 - M, *, \Diamond)$ such that t-norm * and t-conorm \Diamond are associated, i.e., $x \Diamond y = 1 - ((1 - x) * (1 - y)), \forall x, y \in X.$

Example 1. [32] Let (X, d) be a metric space. Define t-norm $a * b = min\{a, b\}$ and tconorm $a \diamond b = max\{a, b\}$ and $\forall x, y \in X$ and t > 0, $M_d(x, y, t) = \frac{t}{t+d(x,y)}$, $N_d(x, y, t) = \frac{d(x,y)}{t+d(x,y)}$. Then $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. We call this intuitionistic fuzzy metric (M, N) induced by the metric d, the standard intuitionistic fuzzy metric.

Example 2. [1] Let X = N. Define $a * b = max\{0, a+b-1\}$ and $a \Diamond b = a+b-ab, \forall a, b \in [0,1]$ and let M and N be the fuzzy sets on $X^2 \times (0,\infty)$ as follows :

 $\forall x, y \in X andt > 0$. Then $(X, M, N, *, \Diamond)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space.

$$M(x, y, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{y}, & \text{if } x \leq y \\ \frac{y}{x}, & \text{if } y \leq x \end{cases}$$

and

$$N(x, y, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{y-x}{y}, & \text{if } x \le y\\ \frac{x-y}{x}, & \text{if } y \le x \end{cases}$$

Remark 3. In an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space X, M(x, y, .) is non- decreasing and N(x, y, .) is non-increasing, $\forall x, y \in X$.

Definition 4. [1] Let $(X, M, N, *, \Diamond)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. Then

A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be convergent to a point $x \in X$ (denoted by $\lim_{n\to\infty} \{x_n\} = x$) if, $\forall t > 0$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} M(x_n, x, t) = 1$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} N(x_n, x, t) = 0$.

A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be a cauchy sequence if $\forall t > 0$ and p > 0 $\lim_{n \to \infty} M(x_{n+p}, x, t) = 1$, $\lim_{n \to \infty} N(x_{n+p}, x, t) = 0$.

Definition 5. [1] An intutionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \Diamond)$ is said to be complete if and only if every cauchy sequence in X is convergent. It is called compact if every sequence contains a convergent subsequence.

Remark 4. [1] Since * and \diamond are continuous, the limit is uniquely determined from (13) and (19), respectively.

Definition 6. [34] A pair (A, S) of self-mappings of an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \Diamond)$ is said to be semi-compatible if $\lim_{n\to\infty} M(ASx_n, Sz, t) = 1$, and $\lim_{n\to\infty} N(ASx_n, Sz, t) = 0$, for all t > 0, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = z$ for some $z \in X$.

Definition 7. [32]A pair (A, S) of self-mappings of an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \Diamond)$ is said to satisfy (E.A) property if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = z$ for some $z \in X$.

Definition 8. Two pairs (A, S) and (B, T) of self-mappings of an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \Diamond)$ are said to share the common property (E.A) if there exists two sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} Bx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Tx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Ay_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Sy_n = z$ for some $z \in X$.

Definition 9. [1] Two self-maps A and S on set X are said to be weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence point.

Definition 10. [33] Two self maps f and g of a set X are called occasionally weakly compatible iff there is a point $x \in X$ which is coincidence point of f and g at which f and g commute.

Definition 11. [36] Let Ψ be the class of all non decreasing mappings $\psi : [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ and $\eta : [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ such that

$$\lim_{\to\infty} \psi^n(s) = 1, \forall s \in (0, 1]$$

$$\tag{22}$$

$$\psi(s) > s, \forall s \in (0,1) \tag{23}$$

$$\psi(1) = 1, \tag{24}$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \eta^n(r) = 0, \forall r \in [0, 1)$$

$$\tag{25}$$

$$\eta(r) < r, \forall r \in (0, 1) \tag{26}$$

$$\eta(0) = 0. \tag{27}$$

For examples, we refer to [36].

3. Main results

Theorem 1. Let $(X, M, N, *, \Diamond)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. Let A, B, S and T be mappings from X into itself such that

 $M(Ax, By, t) \ge \psi[\min\{M(Sx, Ty, t), M(Sx, Ax, t), M(Sx, By, t), M(Ty, Ax, t)\}]$ (28) and

$$N(Ax, By, t) \le \eta[max\{N(Sx, Ty, t), N(Sx, Ax, t), N(Sx, By, t), N(Ty, Ax, t)\}]$$
(29)

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\psi, \eta \in \Psi$. Also, suppose the pair (A, S) and (B, T) share the common property (E.A), and S(X) and T(X) are closed subsets of X, then the pair (A, S) as well as (B, T) have a coincidence point. Further, A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point provided the pair (A, S) is semi-compatible and (B, T) is occasionally weakly compatible.

Proof. Since the pair (A, S) and (B, T) satisfies the property (E.A). Then there exists two sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} By_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Ty_n = z$, for some $z \in X$. Since S(X) is closed subset of X, therefore $\lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = z \in S(X)$ and there is a point u in X such that Su = z.

Now, we claim that Au = z. If not, then by using (28) and (29), we have

 $M(Au, By_n, t) \ge \psi[\min\{M(Su, Ty_n, t), M(Su, Au, t), M(Su, By_n, t), M(Ty_n, Au, t)\}],$

 $N(Au, By_n, t) \le \eta[max\{N(Su, Ty_n, t), N(Su, Au, t), N(Su, By_n, t), N(Ty_n, Au, t)\}]$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we have

$$\begin{split} M(Au, z, t) &\geq \psi[\min\{M(z, z, t), M(z, Au, t), M(z, z, t), M(z, Au, t)\}] = \psi[M(z, Au, t)] > M(z, Au, t), \end{split}$$

 $N(Au, z, t) \leq \eta[max\{N(z, z, t), N(z, Au, t), N(z, z, t), N(z, Au, t)\}] = \eta[(z, Au, t)] < N(z, Au, t),$

which are contradictions. Hence Au = z. Thus, we have Au = Su i.e. u is coincidence point of the pair (A, S).

Since T(X) is a closed subset of X, therefore $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ty_n = z \in T(X)$ and there is a point $w \in X$ such that Tw = z. Again using (28) and (29), we obtain

 $M(Ax_n, Bw, t) \ge \psi[\min\{M(Sx_n, Tw, t), M(Sx_n, Ax_n, t), M(Sx_n, Bw, t), M(Tw, Ax_n, t)\}],$

 $N(Ax_n, Bw, t) \le \eta[max\{N(Sx_n, Tw, t), N(Sx_n, Ax_n, t), N(Sx_n, Bw, t), N(Tw, Ax_n, t)\}]$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we have

$$\begin{split} M(z, Bw, t) \geq \psi[\min\{M(z, z, t), M(z, z, t), M(z, Bw, t), M(z, z, t)\}] = \psi[M(z, Bw, t)] > M(z, Bw, t), \end{split}$$

 $N(z, Bw, t) \leq \eta[\max\{N(z, z, t), N(z, z, t), N(z, Bw, t), N(z, z, t)\}] = \eta[(z, Bw, t)] < N(z, Bw, t),$

which are contradictions. Hence Bw = z. Thus, we have Bw = Tw i.e. w is coincidence point of the pair (B,T).

Since, the pair (A, S) is semicompatible, so $\lim_{n\to\infty} ASx_n = Sz$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} ASx_n = Az$. Also, the limit in an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space is unique, therefore Az = Sz. Next, we'll show that z is a common fixed point of the pair (A, S). By using (28) and (29), we have

 $M(Az, Bw, t) \ge \psi[\min\{M(Sz, Tw, t), M(Sz, Az, t), M(Sz, Bw, t), M(Tw, Az, t)\}],$

 $N(Az, Bw, t) \le \eta[max\{N(Sz, Tw, t), N(Sz, Az, t), N(Sz, Bw, t), N(Tw, Az, t)\}]$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we have

$$\begin{split} M(Az, z, t) &\geq \psi[\min\{M(Az, z, t), M(Az, Az, t), M(Az, z, t), M(z, Az, t)\}] = \psi[M(Az, z, t)] > M(Az, z, t), \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} N(Az,z,t) &\leq \eta[\max\{N(Az,z,t), N(Az,Az,t), N(Az,z,t), N(z,Az,t)\}] = \eta[(Az,z,t)] < N(Az,z,t). \end{split}$$

This gives Az = z. Thus Az = z = Sz. Since w is a coincidence point of B and T and the pair (B,T) is occasionally weakly compatible, so we have BTw = TBw implies Bz = Tz = z.

For uniqueness, Let v be anothe fixed point of A, B, S and T. Set x = z and y = v in (28) and (29), we have

 $M(Az, Bv, t) \ge \psi[\min\{M(Sz, Tv, t), M(Sz, Az, t), M(Sz, Bv, t), M(Tv, Az, t)\}],$

 $N(Az, Bv, t) \le \eta[max\{N(Sz, Tv, t), N(Sz, Az, t), N(Sz, Bv, t), N(Tv, Az, t)\}\}$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we have

$$M(z, v, t) \geq \psi[\min\{M(z, v, t), M(z, z, t), M(z, v, t), M(v, z, t)\}] = \psi[M(z, v, t)] > M(z, v, t),$$

 $N(z,v,t) \leq \eta[\max\{N(z,v,t), N(z,z,t), N(z,v,t), N(v,z,t)\}] = \eta[N(z,v,t)] < N(z,v,t),$

this implies z = v. Thus z is a unique common fixed point of A, B, S and T.

Theorem 2. Let $(X, M, N, *, \Diamond)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. Let A, B, S and T be mappings from X into itself such that for all $x, y \in X, a, b, c \ge 0, q > 0$ and q < a + b + c,

$$qM(Ax, By, t) \ge aM(Ty, Sx, t) + bM(Sx, By, t) + cM(Ax, By, t)$$
(30)

 $+max\{M(Ax, Sx,t), M(By, Ty, t)\}$ and

$$qN(Ax, By, t) \le aN(Ty, Sx, t) + bN(Sx, By, t) + cN(Ax, By, t)$$
(31)

 $+min\{ N(Ax, Sx,t), N(By,Ty, t)\}$

Also, suppose the pair (A, S) and (B, T) share the common property (E.A), and S(X) and T(X) are closed subsets of X, then the pair (A, S) as well as (B, T) have a coincidence point. Further, A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point provided the pair (A, S) is semi-compatible and (B, T) is occasionally weakly compatible.

Proof. Since the pair (A, S) and (B, T) satisfies the property (E.A). Then there exists two sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} By_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Ty_n = z$, for some $z \in X$. Since S(X) is closed subset of X, therefore $\lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = z \in S(X)$ and there is a point u in X such that Su = z. Now, we claim that Au = z. If not, then by using (30) and (31), we have,

$$\begin{split} &qM(Au,By_n,t) \geq aM(Ty_n,Su,t) + bM(Su,By_n,t) + cM(Au,By_n,t) + max\{M(Au,Su,t),M(By_n,Ty_n,t)\} \end{split}$$

 $qN(Au, By_n, t) \leq aN(Ty_n, Su, t) + bN(Su, By_n, t) + cN(Au, By_n, t) + min\{N(Au, Su, t), N(By_n, Ty_n, t)\}.$

Letting $n \to \infty$

$$qM(Au, z, t) \ge aM(z, z, t) + bM(z, z, t) + cM(Au, z, t) + max\{M(Au, z, t), M(z, z, t)\}$$

$$qN(Au,z,t) \leq aN(z,z,t) + bN(z,z,t) + cN(Au,z,t) + \min\{N(Au,z,t), N(z,z,t)\},$$

$$(q-c)M(Au, z, t) \ge (a+b)M(z, z, t) + 1 > (a+b)M(z, z, t),$$

$$(q-c)N(Au,z,t) \le (a+b)N(z,z,t) + 0 \le 0,$$

which gives

 $M(Au, z, t) > \frac{(a+b)}{(q-c)} > 1$ and $N(Au, z, t) \leq 0$, for all t > 0, this implies that Au = z. Hence Au = Su i.e. u is a coincidence point of (A, S). Since, T(X) is a closed subset of X, there exists a point w in X such that Tw = z. Set $x = x_n$, y = w in (30) and (31), we have

 $qM(Ax_n, Bw, t) \ge aM(Tw, Sx_n, t) + bM(Sx_n, Bw, t) + cM(Ax_n, Bw, t) + max\{M(Ax_n, Sx_n, t), M(Bw, Tw, t)\},$

176

 $qN(Ax_n, Bw, t) \leq aN(Tw, Sx_n, t) + bN(Sx_n, Bw, t) + cN(Ax_n, Bw, t) + min\{N(Ax_n, Sx_n, t), N(Bw, Tw, t)\}.$

Letting $n \to \infty$

$$\begin{split} &qM(z,Bw,t) \geq aM(z,z,t) + bM(z,Bw,t) + cM(z,Bw,t) + max\{M(z,z,t),M(Bw,z,t)\} \\ &qN(z,Bw,t) \leq aN(z,z,t) + bN(z,Bw,t) + cN(z,Bw,t) + min\{N(z,z,t),N(Bw,z,t)\}, \\ &(q-b-c)M(z,Bw,t) \geq aM(z,z,t) + 1 > aM(z,z,t), \\ &(q-b-c)N(z,Bw,t) \leq aN(z,z,t) + 0 \leq 0, \end{split}$$

which gives

 $M(z, Bw, t) > \frac{(a)}{(b-q-c)} > 1$ and $N(z, Bw, t) \leq 0$, for all t > 0, this implies that Bw = z. Hence Bw = Tw i.e. w is a coincidence point of (B, T). Since, the pair (A, S) is semicompatible, so $\lim_{n\to\infty} ASx_n = Sz$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} ASx_n = Az$. Also, the limit in an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space is unique, therefore Az = Sz. Next, we'll show that z is a common fixed point of the pair (A, S). By setting x = z, y = w in (30) and (31), we have

$$\label{eq:main_star} \begin{split} qM(Az,Bw,t) &\geq aM(Tw,Sz,t) + bM(Sz,Bw,t) + cM(Az,Bw,t) + max\{M(Az,Sz,t),M(Bw,Tw,t)\}, \end{split}$$

 $qN(Az, Bw, t) \leq aN(Tw, Sz, t) + bN(Sz, Bw, t) + cN(Az, Bw, t) + \min\{N(Az, Sz, t), N(Bw, Tw, t)\}.$

Letting $n \to \infty$

$$\begin{split} &qM(Az,z,t) \geq aM(z,Az,t) + bM(Az,z,t) + cM(Az,z,t) + max\{M(Az,Az,t),M(z,z,t)\}\\ &qN(Az,z,t) \leq aN(z,Az,t) + bN(Az,z,t) + cN(Az,z,t) + min\{N(Az,Az,t),N(z,z,t)\},\\ &(q-a-b-c)M(Az,z,t) \geq 1,\\ &(q-a-b-c)N(Az,z,t) \geq 0, \end{split}$$

which gives

 $M(Au, z, t) > \frac{1}{(q-a-b-c)} > 1$ and $N(Au, z, t) \le 0$, for all t > 0, this implies that Az = z. Hence Az = z = Sz i.e. z is a fixed point of (A, S). Since w is a coincidence point of B and T and the pair (B, T) is occasionally weakly compatible, so we have BTw = TBw implies Bz = Tz = z. Therefore in all Az = Sz = Bz = Tz = z i.e. z is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T. The uniqueness of a common fixed point is an easy consequence of the inequalities (30) and (31).

4. Applications

Theorem 3. Let $(X, M, N, *, \Diamond)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. Let A, B, S and T be mappings from X into itself such that

$$\int_{0}^{M(Ax, By, (t))} \phi(t) dt \ge \int_{0}^{\psi[m(x, y, t)]} \phi(t) dt,$$
(32)

$$\int_{0}^{N(Ax,By,(t))} \phi(t)dt \le \int_{0}^{\eta[n(x,y,t)]} \phi(t)dt,$$
(33)

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\psi, \eta \in \Psi$,

$$m(x, y, t) = \min\{M(Sx, Ty, t), M(Sx, Ax, t), M(Sx, By, t), M(Ty, Ax, t)\},\$$

 $n(x, y, t) = max\{N(Sx, Ty, t), N(Sx, Ax, t), N(Sx, By, t), N(Ty, Ax, t)\}, and \phi : R_+ \rightarrow R_+$ is a Lebesgue integrable and summable function such that for each $\epsilon > 0, \int_0^{\epsilon} \phi(t)dt > 0$. Also, suppose the pair (A, S) and (B, T) share the common property (E.A), and S(X) and T(X) are closed subsets of X, then the pair (A, S) as well as (B, T) have a coincidence point. Further, A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point provided the pair (A, S) is semi-compatible and (B, T) is occasionally weakly compatible.

Proof. Since the pair (A, S) and (B, T) satisfies the property (E.A). Then there exists two sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} By_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Ty_n = z$, for some $z \in X$. Since S(X) is closed subset of X, therefore $\lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = z \in S(X)$ and there is a point u in X such that Su = z. Now, we claim that Au = z. If not, then by using (32) and (33), we have,

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{M(Au,By_{n},(t)}\phi(t)dt \geq \int_{0}^{\psi[m(u,y_{n},t)]}\phi(t)dt, \\ &\int_{0}^{N(Au,By_{n},(t)}\phi(t)dt \leq \int_{0}^{\eta[n(u,y_{n},t)]}\phi(t)dt, \\ &\text{where} \\ &\psi[m(x,y,t)] = \psi[\min\{M(Su,Ty_{n},t),M(Su,Au,t),M(Su,By_{n},t),M(Ty_{n},Au,t)\}], \\ &\eta[n(u,y_{n},t)] = \eta[\max\{N(Su,Ty_{n},t),N(Su,Au,t),N(Su,By_{n},t),N(Ty_{n},Au,t)\}]. \\ &\text{So, } \int_{0}^{M(Au,z,t)}\phi(t)dt \geq \int_{0}^{\psi[m(u,z,t)]}\phi(t)dt, \\ &\int_{0}^{N(Au,z,(t))}\phi(t)dt \leq \int_{0}^{\eta[n(u,z,t)]}\phi(t)dt, \end{split}$$

where

$$\begin{split} \psi[m(u,z,t)] &= \psi[\min\{M(z,z,t), M(z,Au,t), M(z,z,t), M(z,Au,t)\}] \\ &= \psi[M(z,Au,t)] > M(z,Au,t), \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &\eta[n(u,z,t)] = \eta[max\{N(z,z,t), N(z,Au,t), N(z,z,t), N(z,Au,t)\}] \\ &= \eta[N(z,Au,t)] < N(z,Au,t). \\ &\text{i.e., } \int_0^{M(Au,z,t)} \phi(t)dt \geq \int_0^{M(Au,z,t)} \phi(t)dt, \end{split}$$

 $\int_0^{N(Au,z,t)} \phi(t)dt \leq \int_0^{N(Au,u,t)} \phi(t)dt$, which are contradictions, these implies Au = z. Hence Au = Su i.e. u is a coincidence point of (A, S). Since, T(X) is a closed subset of X, then $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ty_n = z \in T(X)$ and there exists a point w in X such that Tw = z. Set $x = x_n$, y = w in (32) and (33), we have

$$\int_{0}^{M(Ax_{n},Bw,t)} \phi(t)dt \ge \int_{0}^{\psi[m(x_{n},w,t)]} \phi(t)dt$$
$$\int_{0}^{M(Ax_{n},Bw,t)} \phi(t)dt \le \int_{0}^{\eta[n(x_{n},w,t)]} \phi(t)dt,$$
$$\int_{0}^{M(z,Bw,(t))} \phi(t)dt \ge \int_{0}^{\psi[m(z,w,t)]} \phi(t)dt,$$

$$\int_0^{N(z,Bw,(t)} \phi(t)dt \le \int_0^{\eta[n(z,w,t)]} \phi(t)dt,$$

where

$$\begin{split} \psi[m(z,w,t)] &= \psi[\min\{M(z,z,t),M(z,z,t),M(z,Bw,t),M(z,z,t)\}] \\ &= \psi[M(z,Bw,t)] > M(z,Bw,t), \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &\eta[n(z,w,t)] = \eta[max\{N(z,z,t),N(z,z,t),N(z,Bw,t),N(z,z,t)\}] \\ &= \eta[N(z,Bw,t)] < N(z,Bw,t). \\ &\text{i.e. } \int_0^{M(z,Bw,t)} \phi(t)dt \geq \int_0^{M(z,Bw,t)} \phi(t)dt, \\ &\int_0^{N(z,Bw,t)} \phi(t)dt \leq \int_0^{N(z,Bw,t)} \phi(t)dt. \end{split}$$

This gives, Bw = z. Hence Tw = Bw = z or w is a coincidence point of the pair (B, T). Since, the pair (A, S) is semicompatible, so $\lim_{n\to\infty} ASx_n = Sz$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} ASx_n = Az$. Also, the limit in the intuitionistic fuzzy metric space is unique, therefore Az = Sz. Next, we'll show that z is a common fixed point of the pair (A, S). By setting x = z, y = w in (32) and (33), we have

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{M(Az,Bw,(t)}\phi(t)dt \geq \int_{0}^{\psi[m(z,w,t)]}\phi(t)dt, \\ &\int_{0}^{N(Az,Bw,(t)}\phi(t)dt \leq \int_{0}^{\eta[n(z,w,t)]}\phi(t)dt, \end{split}$$

where

$$\begin{split} &\psi[m(z,w,t)] = \psi[\min\{M(Az,z,t), M(Az,Az,t), M(Az,z,t), M(z,Az,t)\}] \\ &= \psi[M(Az,z,t)] > M(Az,z,t), \\ &\eta[n(z,w,t)] = \eta[\max\{N(Az,z,t), N(Az,Az,t), N(Az,z,t), N(z,Az,t)\}] \\ &= \eta[N(Az,z,t)] < N(Az,z,t). \\ &\text{i.e. } \int_{0}^{M(Az,z,t)} \phi(t)dt \geq \int_{0}^{M(Az,z,t)} \phi(t)dt, \\ &\int_{0}^{N(Az,z,t)} \phi(t)dt \leq \int_{0}^{N(Az,z,t)} \phi(t)dt. \end{split}$$

This implies that Az = z. Hence Az = z = Sz i.e. z is a fixed point of (A, S). Since w is a coincidence point of B and T and the pair (B, T) is occasionally weakly compatible, so we have BTw = TBw implies Bz = Tz = z. Therefore in all Az = Sz = Bz = Tz = z i.e. z is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T. The uniqueness of a common fixed point is an easy consequence of the inequalities (32) and (33).

Theorem 4. Let $(X, M, N, *, \Diamond)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. Let A, B, S and T be mappings from X into itself such that

$$q \int_{0}^{M(Ax, By, t)} \phi(t)dt \ge a \int_{0}^{M(Ty, Sx, t)} \phi(t)dt + b \int_{0}^{M(Sx, By, t)} \phi(t)dt$$
(34)

$$+c\int_{0}^{M(Ax,By,t)}\phi(t)dt + \int_{0}^{max\{M(Ax,Sx,t),M(By,Ty,t)\}}\phi(t)dt,$$

$$q\int_{0}^{N(Ax,By,t)}\phi(t)dt \le a\int_{0}^{N(Ty,Sx,t)}\phi(t)dt + b\int_{0}^{N(Sx,By,t)}\phi(t)dt$$
(35)

 $+ c \int_0^{N(Ax,By,t)} \phi(t) dt + \int_0^{\min\{N(Ax,Sx,t),N(By,Ty,t)\}} \phi(t) dt,$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $a, b, c \geq 0, q > 0, q < a + b + c$ and $\psi : R_+ \to R_+$ is a Lebesgue integrable and summable function such that for each $\epsilon > 0, \int_0^{\epsilon} \phi(t) dt > 0$. Also, suppose the pair (A, S) and (B, T) share the common property (E.A.), and S(X) and T(X) are closed subsets of X, then the pair (A, S) as well as (B, T) have a coincidence point. Further, A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point provided the pair (A, S) is semi-compatible and (B, T) is occasionally weakly compatible.

Proof. The proof follows easily on the lines of Theorem 3.

References

- Alaca, C., Turkoglu, D. and Yildiz, C., Fixed point in intutionistic fuzzy metric spaces, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 2006.
- [2] Anderson, D.E., Singh, K.L. and Whitefield, J.H.M., Fixed points for left reversible semigroups in convex metric spaces, Math. Japon., 1983.
- [3] Attansov, K., Intutionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy sets and Systems, 1986.
- [4] Attansov, K., Intutionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy sets and Systems, 1994.
- [5] Aamir, M. and Moutawakil, D. El, Some new common fixed point theorems under strict contractive conditions, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2002.
- [6] Banach,S., Surles operations dansles ensembles abstracts at leur applications aux equations integrals, Fund. Math., 1922.
- [7] Deng, Z.K., Fuzzy Pseudo metric spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 1982).
- [8] Erceg, M.A., Metric spaces in fuzzy set theory, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 1979.
- [9] Edelstein, M., On fixed point and periodic points under contractive mappings ,J. Lond. Math. Soc. , 1962.
- [10] Grabiec, M., Fixed point in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy sets and Systems, 1988.
- [11] George, A. and Veermani, P., On some results in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy sets and Systems, 1994.
- [12] George, A. and Veermani, P., On some results of analysis for fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy sets and Systems, 1997.
- [13] Gupta, V., Deep R. and Tripathi A.K., Some common fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces and their applications, Bol. Soc. Paran. Mat. ,2018.
- [14] Jungck, G., Compatible mappings and common fixed points, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 1986.
- [15] Jungck, G., Compatible fixed points for non continuous nonself maps on nonmetric spaces, Far East J. Math. Sci. 1996).
- [16] Jungck, G., Murthy, P.P. and Cho. Y.J., Compatible mappings of type (A) and common fixed points, Math. Japon., 1993.
- [17] Jungck, G. and Rhoades, B.E., Fixed points for set valued functions without continuity, Ind. J. Pure Appl. Maths., 1998).
- [18] Kaleva, O. and Seikkala, S., On fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy sets and Systems, 1984.
- [19] Kramosil, I. and Michalek, J., Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, Kybernetika, 1975.
- [20] Kubiaczyk, I. and Sharma, S., Common coincidence point in fuzzy metric space, J. Fuzzy Math., 2003.
- [21] Menger, K., Statistical metric spaces, Proc., Nat.Acad. Sci., 1942.
- [22] Manro, S., Kumar, S. and Singh, S., Common fixed point theorems in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, Appl. Math., 2010.
- [23] Mishra, S.N., Sharma N. and Singh, S.L., Common fixed poins of maps in fuzzy metric spaces, Internet. J. Math. and Math. Sci., 1994.
- [24] Park, J.H., Intutionistic fuzzy metric spaces, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 2004.
- [25] Pant, V. and Pant, R.V., Fixed points in fuzzy metric space for non compatible maps, Soochow J. Math., 2007.

- [26] Schweizer, B. and Skaler, A., Statistical metric spaces, Pacif. J. Math., 1960.
- [27] Seesa, S., On a weak commutativity condition of mappings in a fixed point considerations, Pubs. Inst. Math., 1986.
- [28] Sharma, S. and Bamboria, D., Some new Common fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces under strict contractive conditions, J. Fuzzy Math., 2006.
- [29] Sharma, S., Servet, K. and Pathak, A., Common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible mappings in intutionistic fuzzy metric spaces, J. Fuzzy Math., 2009.
- [30] Sharma, S., Servet, K. and Rathore, R.S., Common fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings in intutionistic fuzzy metric space Comm. Kor. Math. Soc., 2007.
- [31] Sharma, S.and Deshpande, B., Common fixed point theorems for finite number of mappings without continuity and compatibility on fuzzy metric spaces, Math. Morav., 2008.
- [32] Suneel, S., Common fixed point theorems in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces using property (E.A), J. Ind. Math.Soc., 2009.
- [33] Thagafi, M.A. Al. and Shahzad, N., A note on occasionally weakly compatible maps, Int. Jour. Math. Analysis, 2009.
- [34] Singh, B. and Jain, S., Semi-compatibility and fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric space using implicit relation, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 2005.
- [35] Turkoglu, D., Alaca, C. and Yildiz, C., Compatible maps and compatible maps of types (α) and (β) in intutionistic fuzzy metric spaces, Demons. Math., 2006.
- [36] Thangavelu, P. and Priskillal Jeyakristy, J., A new common fixed point theorem in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, Elect. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2018.
- [37] Zadeh, L.A., Fuzzy sets, Inform. Cont., 1965.

COLLEGE OF APPLIED SCIENCES-SOHAR MATHEMATICS SECTION PC 311, SOHAR, OMAN Email address: rajind.math@gmail.com Email address: thakurdeepti@yahoo.com