

EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS THEOREMS FOR FOURTH-ORDER EQUATIONS WITH BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

ROWAIDA ALRAJHI AND LAZHAR BOUGOFFA

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this paper is to prove the existence and uniqueness theorem of the boundary value problem for fourth-order differential equations

$$u^{(4)} + q(x)u(x) = g(x), \quad 0 < x < 1,$$

subject to the BC:

$$u(0) = u'(0) = u''(1) = u'''(1) = 0$$

in the Sobolev space $\mathbb{H}^4([0, 1])$ by using an a priori estimate. We also investigate the Schauder's fixed point theorem for proving the existence theorem of the boundary value problem for fourth-order nonlinear differential equations

$$u^{(4)} = f(x, u', u'', u'''), \quad 0 < x < 1,$$

under the above boundary conditions (BC), where $f : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function and satisfies

$$|f(x, u, v, w, z)| \leq a + a_0|u| + a_1|v| + a_2|w| + a_3|z|,$$

where $a, a_i > 0, i = 0, \dots, 3$.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [1], the author considered the following linear boundary value problem for fourth-order differential equation:

$$u^{(4)}(x) + q(x)u(x) = g(x), \quad 0 < x < 1, \tag{1}$$

$$u(0) = a, \quad u(1) = b, \quad u''(0) = c, \quad u''(1) = d, \tag{2}$$

where q and g are continuous functions on $[0, 1]$, and established a sufficient condition $\sup_{0 \leq x \leq 1} |q(x)| < \pi^4$ that guarantees a unique solution for Pr.(1)-(2). This problem is used in different areas of physics, engineering and mathematics such as plate deflection theory. The analytical solution of Pr.(1)-(2) is given by Timoshenko and Woinowsky-Krieger [2] provided the functions $q(x)$ and $g(x)$ are constants. Also, the use of a matrix and power series methods for solving this problem are given in [3].

Yang [4] extended Pr.(1)-(2) and considered the following nonlinear problem

$$u^{(4)} = g(x, u, u''), \quad 0 < x < 1,$$

subject to (2), and established a result on the existence and uniqueness theorem under a suitable condition

$$|g(x, y, z)| \leq a |y| + b |z| + c, \quad a, b, c > 0, \quad \frac{a}{\pi^4} + \frac{b}{\pi^2} < 1.$$

In this paper, we consider the beam equation (1) under various boundary conditions:

$$u(0) = u'(0) = u''(1) = u'''(1) = 0, \tag{3}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35 B15, 35B05.

Key words and phrases. Fourth-order differential equation, boundary conditions, existence and uniqueness solution, a priori estimate.

which correspond to a beam clamped at $x = 0$ and free at $x = 1$ and prove some results on the existence and uniqueness theorems for Eq.(1) and Eq.(3) in the Sobolev space $\mathbb{H}^4([0, 1])$. The proof is based on an a priori estimate and the density of the range of the linear operator generated by the studied problem. We also consider the following general nonlinear problem

$$u^{(4)} = f(x, u, u', u'', u'''), \quad 0 < x < 1, \quad (4)$$

subject to (3), where $f : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. A result on the existence theorem is proved under the condition

$$|f(x, u, v, w, z)| \leq a + a_0|u| + a_1|v| + a_2|w| + a_3|z|,$$

where $a, a_i > 0, i = 0, \dots, 3$.

2. THE LINEAR BVP PROBLEM EQ.(1) WITH EQ.(3)

2.1. A result on the existence and uniqueness theorem in $\mathbb{H}^4([0, 1])$. Rewrite the above boundary value problem Eq. (1) and Eq. (3) in the linear equation of the form

$$Fu = g, \quad u \in U,$$

where

$$F : D(F) \subset U \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_2 : Fu = u^{(4)} + q(x)u$$

and U is a Hilbert space:

$$U = \left\{ u : u, \frac{d^i u}{dx^i} \in \mathbb{L}_2(0, 1), i = 1, \dots, 4. \right\}$$

with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_U^2 = \int_0^1 \left[u^2 + \left(\frac{du}{dx} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{d^2u}{dx^2} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{d^3u}{dx^3} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{d^4u}{dx^4} \right)^2 \right] dx < \infty,$$

$$D(F) = \{u \in U : u(0) = u'(0) = u''(1) = u'''(1) = 0\}.$$

The purpose of this section is to establish the uniqueness solution in U .

Lemma 1 (Wirtinger's Inequality). *Suppose $u \in \mathbb{C}^1[a, b]$ with $u(a) = 0$ or $u(b) = 0$. Then*

$$\int_a^b u^2(x)dx \leq \frac{4(b-a)^2}{\pi^2} \int_a^b (u'(x))^2 dx.$$

2.2. A priori estimate. For $u \in U$, we define the operator M by $Mu \equiv u$ and consider the scalar product $(Fu, Mu)_{L_2}$.

Employing integration by parts, and taking into account that the BC (3), we obtain

$$(Fu, u)_{L_2} = \int_0^1 \left(\frac{d^2u}{dx^2} \right)^2 dx + \int_0^1 q(x)u^2 dx.$$

The scalar product $(Fu, Mu)_{L_2}$ can be estimated by means of the Cauchy-Schwarz-Bunyakovski inequality and the ϵ - inequality

$$2uv \leq \epsilon u^2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} v^2, \quad u, v \geq 0, \quad \epsilon > 0,$$

$$|(Fu, Mu)_{L_2}| \leq \frac{1}{2\epsilon_1} \int_0^1 g^2(x)dx + \frac{\epsilon_1}{2} \int_0^1 u^2(x)dx, \quad \epsilon_1 > 0.$$

If we suppose that $0 < \alpha \leq q(x) \leq \beta$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$, then

$$\left(\alpha - \frac{\epsilon_1}{2}\right) \int_0^1 u^2 dx + \int_0^1 \left(\frac{d^2 u}{dx^2}\right)^2 dx \leq \frac{1}{2\epsilon_1} \int_0^1 g^2(x) dx. \quad (5)$$

From Eq.(1), we have

$$\frac{d^4 u}{dx^4} = g(x) - q(x)u$$

and therefore it follows that

$$\epsilon_2 \int_0^1 \left(\frac{d^4 u}{dx^4}\right)^2 dx \leq 2\epsilon_2 \left(\int_0^1 g^2(x) dx + \beta^2 \int_0^1 u^2 dx \right), \quad \epsilon_2 > 0.$$

Adding this estimate with inequality (5), we obtain

$$\left(\alpha - \frac{\epsilon_1}{2} - 2\epsilon_2\beta^2\right) \int_0^1 u^2 dx + \int_0^1 \left(\frac{d^2 u}{dx^2}\right)^2 dx + \epsilon_2 \int_0^1 \left(\frac{d^4 u}{dx^4}\right)^2 dx \leq \left(2\epsilon_2 + \frac{1}{2\epsilon_1}\right) \int_0^1 g^2(x) dx. \quad (6)$$

Adding also the following Wirtinger type inequalities [5]

$$\int_0^1 \left(\frac{du}{dx}\right)^2 dx \leq \frac{4}{\pi^2} \int_0^1 \left(\frac{d^2 u}{dx^2}\right)^2 dx, \quad u'(0) = 0$$

and

$$\int_0^1 \left(\frac{d^3 u}{dx^3}\right)^2 dx \leq \frac{4}{\pi^2} \int_0^1 \left(\frac{d^4 u}{dx^4}\right)^2 dx, \quad u'''(0) = 0 \text{ or } u'''(1) = 0$$

to (6) and choosing ϵ_i , $i = 1, 2$ to be sufficiently small so that $\alpha - \frac{\epsilon_1}{2} - 2\epsilon_2\beta^2 > 0$ and $\frac{4}{\pi^2} < \epsilon_2 < 1$. Since $0 < \alpha < \beta$, we have $0 < \epsilon_1 < 2\alpha - \frac{16}{\pi^2}\alpha^2$. Hence

$$\int_0^1 \left[u^2 + \left(\frac{du}{dx}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{d^2 u}{dx^2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{d^3 u}{dx^3}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{d^4 u}{dx^4}\right)^2 \right] dx \leq C \int_0^1 g^2(x) dx,$$

that is

$$\|u\|_U \leq C_1 \|g\|_{L_2}, \quad (7)$$

where $C_1 = C^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $C = \frac{2\epsilon_2 + \frac{1}{2\epsilon_1}}{\min(\alpha - \frac{\epsilon_1}{2} - 2\epsilon_2\beta^2, \epsilon_2 - \frac{4}{\pi^2})}$.

Thus we have proved the following statement.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that $0 < \alpha \leq q(x) \leq \beta$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$. Then for $g \in L_2[0, 1]$, there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that the obtained a priori estimate (7) holds.*

2.3. Existence of the solution. Notice that the uniqueness of the solution follows immediately from the estimate (7), however the existence of the solution is equivalent to the property $R(L) = \mathbb{L}_2$, where $R(L)$ is the range of L .

We need the following lemmas:

Lemma 2. *L is a closed operator.*

Proof. To show that L is a closed operator, let $\{u_n\}$ be a convergent sequence, that is $u_n \rightarrow u$ in \mathbb{L}_2 such that $\{Lu_n\}$ converges to w in \mathbb{L}_2 . From,

$$\int_0^1 Lu_n \varphi(x) dx = \int_0^1 u_n^{(4)}(x) \varphi(x) dx + \int_0^1 q(x) u_n(x) \varphi(x) dx, \quad \varphi \in \mathbb{C}_0^\infty(0, 1),$$

that is φ and its derivatives $\varphi^{(k)}$, $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ vanish outside $[0, 1]$, we have

$$\int_0^1 Lu_n \varphi(x) dx = \int_0^1 u_n^{(4)}(x) \varphi(x) dx + \int_0^1 q(x) u_n(x) \varphi(x) dx.$$

Taking the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ to get

$$\int_0^1 w\varphi(x)dx = \int_0^1 (u^{(4)} + q(x)u)\varphi(x)dx.$$

Thus $Lu = w \in \mathbb{L}_2$. \square

Lemma 3. *For the linear operator $L : D(L) \subset U \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_2$, we have $R(L) = \mathbb{L}_2$ if and only if $R(L)$ is closed and $R(L)^\perp = \{0\}$.*

Thus we have

Theorem 2. *Under the hypotheses of Proposition 1, and if we assume $R(L)^\perp = \{0\}$. Then for each $g \in \mathbb{L}_2$, the problem Eq. (1) and Eq. (3) has a unique solution.*

Proof. Let $\{u_n\} \subset D(L)$ be a sequence with $Lu_n = g_n$. Using the a priori estimate (7), we obtain

$$\|u_n - u_m\|_U \leq C_1 \|g_n - g_m\|_{L_2}.$$

Thus $\{u_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in U . Since U is a Hilbert space and L is closed, the sequence $\{u_n\}$ converges, that is $u_n \rightarrow u \in U$, we conclude that $u \in D(L)$ and $Lu = g \in R(L)$. Consequently, $R(L)$ is closed. So we obtain the existence of the solution. \square

3. THE NONLINEAR BVP PROBLEM EQ.(4) WITH (3)

In this section, we will reformulate the nonlinear boundary value problem Eq.(4) subject to the BC (3) as a fixed point problem for integral equation.

We will use the following Lemmas.

Lemma 4. *Let $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. The unique solution u of the following boundary value problem*

$$u^{(4)} = g(x) \tag{8}$$

subject to the boundary conditions (3) is given by

$$u(x) = \int_0^1 G(x, y)g(y)dy,$$

where $G(x, y)$ is the Green function given by

$$G(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{-y^3}{6} + \frac{xy^2}{2}, & \text{if } 0 \leq y \leq x \leq 1, \\ \frac{-x^3}{6} + \frac{x^2y}{2}, & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq y \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Integrating (8) twice, we obtain:

$$u''(x) = C_1x + C_2 + \int_0^x \int_0^x g(s)dsdy,$$

where C_1 and C_2 are constants.

Thus,

$$u'(x) = C_1x + C_2 + \int_0^x (x - y)g(y)dy.$$

Integrating again both sides of this equation, we obtain

$$u(x) = \frac{C_1x^2}{2} + C_2x + C_3 + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^x (x - y)^2g(y)dy.$$

where C_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$ are also constants. Hence,

$$u(x) = \frac{C_1 x^3}{6} + \frac{C_2 x^2}{2} + C_3 x + C_4 + \frac{1}{6} \int_0^x (x-y)^3 g(y) dy.$$

We determine C_i from $u(0) = u'(0) = u''(1) = u'''(1) = 0$, we obtain

$$C_1 = - \int_0^1 g(y) dy, \quad C_2 = \int_0^1 y g(y) dy, \quad C_3 = C_4 = 0.$$

Consequently,

$$u(x) = \int_0^x \left(\frac{-y^3}{6} + \frac{xy^2}{2} \right) g(y) dy + \int_x^1 \left(\frac{-x^3}{6} + \frac{x^2 y}{2} \right) g(y) dy.$$

□

Lemma 5. *The Green function and its derivatives satisfy the following properties:*

(1)

$$\max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} \int_0^1 |G(x, y)| dy \leq 0.220026174 = K_0,$$

(2)

$$\max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} \int_0^1 |G_x(x, y)| dy \leq \frac{1}{6} = K_1,$$

(3)

$$\max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} \int_0^1 |G_{xx}(x, y)| dy \leq \frac{2}{3} = K_2,$$

(4)

$$\max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} \int_0^1 |G_{xxx}(x, y)| dy \leq 1 = K_3.$$

The problem (4)-(3) can be converted into the following system:

$$\begin{cases} u''' = v, & u(0) = u'(0) = u''(1) = 0, \\ v' = f(x, u, u', u'', v), & v(1) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

Proposition 1. *Suppose that $f : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies*

$$|f(x, u, v, w, z)| \leq a + a_0|u| + a_1|v| + a_2|w| + a_3|z|,$$

where $a, a_i > 0$, $i = 0, \dots, 3$ and $\frac{16a_0}{\pi^3} + \frac{8a_1}{\pi^2} + \frac{4a_2}{\pi} + \frac{2a_3}{\pi} < 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}$, where $\epsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small. Then there exist a constant $M > 0$, such that

$$\|u\|_E \leq M, \quad \text{where } \|u\|_E = \max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} \sum_{i=0}^3 a_i |u^{(i)}(x)| \quad \text{and } E = \mathbb{C}^3([0, 1]).$$

Proof. Multiplying both sides of the first equation of (9) by u'' and integrating from x to 1, we get

$$\frac{-1}{2} (u'')^2(x) = \int_x^1 v(x) u''(x) dx.$$

Then

$$(u'')^2(x) \leq 2 \int_x^1 |v(x)| |u''(x)| dx.$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get

$$(u''(x))^2 dx \leq 2 \left(\int_0^1 v^2(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^1 (u''(x))^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Thus

$$\int_0^1 (u''(x))^2 dx \leq 2 \left(\int_0^1 v^2(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^1 (u''(x))^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Hence

$$\int_0^1 (u''(x))^2 dx \leq 4 \int_0^1 v^2(x) dx. \quad (10)$$

Applying Wirtinger's inequality Lemma to the R.H.S of (10), we get

$$\int_0^1 (u''(x))^2 dx \leq \frac{16}{\pi^2} \int_0^1 (v'(x))^2 dx. \quad (11)$$

Multiplying now both sides of the second equation of Eq.(9) by v' and integrating from 0 to 1, we get

$$\int_0^1 (v'(x))^2 dx = \int_0^1 f(x, u, u', u'', v) v'(x) dx.$$

Thus, from the given condition on f , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 (v'(x))^2 dx &\leq a \int_0^1 |v'(x)| dx + a_0 \int_0^1 |u(x)| |v'(x)| dx \\ &+ a_1 \int_0^1 |u'(x)| |v'(x)| dx + a_2 \int_0^1 |u''(x)| |v'(x)| dx \\ &+ a_3 \int_0^1 |v(x)| |v'(x)| dx. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 (v'(x))^2 dx &\leq a \int_0^1 |v'(x)| dx + a_0 \left(\int_0^1 u^2(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^1 (v'(x))^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &+ a_1 \left(\int_0^1 (u'(x))^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^1 (v'(x))^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + a_2 \left(\int_0^1 (u''(x))^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^1 (v'(x))^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &+ a_3 \left(\int_0^1 v^2(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^1 (v'(x))^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The term $a \int_0^1 |v'(x)| dx$ can be estimated by means of the ϵ - inequality. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 (v'(x))^2 dx &\leq \frac{1}{2\epsilon} a^2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \int_0^1 (v'(x))^2 dx + a_0 \left(\int_0^1 u^2(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^1 (v'(x))^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &+ a_1 \left(\int_0^1 (u'(x))^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^1 (v'(x))^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + a_2 \left(\int_0^1 (u''(x))^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^1 (v'(x))^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &+ a_3 \left(\int_0^1 v^2(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^1 (v'(x))^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

From the following Wirtinger's inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1 v^2(x)dx &= \frac{4}{\pi^2} \int_0^1 (v'(x))^2 dx, \quad v(1) = 0, \\ \int_0^1 u^2(x)dx &= \frac{4}{\pi^2} \int_0^1 (u'(x))^2 dx, \quad u(0) = 0, \\ \int_0^1 (u'(x))^2 dx &= \frac{4}{\pi^2} \int_0^1 (u''(x))^2 dx, \quad u'(0) = 0,\end{aligned}$$

we have,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1 (v'(x))^2 dx &\leq \frac{a^2}{2\epsilon} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \int_0^1 (v'(x))^2 dx + \frac{16a_0}{\pi^3} \int_0^1 (v'(x))^2 dx + \frac{8a_1}{\pi^2} \int_0^1 (v'(x))^2 dx \\ &+ \frac{4a_2}{\pi} \int_0^1 (v'(x))^2 dx + \frac{2a_3}{\pi} \int_0^1 (v'(x))^2 dx.\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\left(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2} - \frac{16a_0}{\pi^3} - \frac{8a_1}{\pi^2} - \frac{4a_2}{\pi} - \frac{2a_3}{\pi}\right) \int_0^1 (v'(x))^2 dx \leq \frac{a^2}{2\epsilon}.$$

Since ϵ is sufficiently small, we can choose

$$1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2} - \frac{16a_0}{\pi^3} - \frac{8a_1}{\pi^2} - \frac{4a_2}{\pi} - \frac{2a_3}{\pi} = K, \quad K > 0.$$

Thus

$$\int_0^1 (v'(x))^2 dx \leq \frac{a^2}{2\epsilon K} \quad (12)$$

since

$$-v(x) = \int_x^1 v'(x)dx, \quad v(1) = 0,$$

we have

$$|v(x)| \leq \left(\int_0^1 (v'(x))^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{a}{\sqrt{2\epsilon K}}.$$

Hence

$$|u'''(x)| \leq M_3 = \frac{a}{\sqrt{2\epsilon K}}. \quad (13)$$

From (11) and (12), we have:

$$\int_0^1 (u''(x))^2 dx \leq \frac{8a^2}{\epsilon\pi^2 K}$$

since

$$u'(x) = \int_0^x u''(x)dx, \quad u'(0) = 0.$$

Thus

$$|u'(x)| \leq \left(\int_0^1 (u''(x))^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{2\sqrt{2}a}{\pi\sqrt{\epsilon K}} = M_1. \quad (14)$$

In view of

$$u(x) = \int_0^x u'(x)dx, \quad u(0) = 0,$$

we obtain

$$|u(x)| \leq \left(\int_0^1 (u'(x))^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq M_1. \quad (15)$$

Also, from

$$u''(x) = \int_1^x u'''(x)dx, \quad u''(1) = 0,$$

we obtain

$$|u''(x)| \leq \int_0^1 |u'''(x)|dx \leq M_3. \quad (16)$$

From (13), (14), (15) and (16), we get the required result. \square

Theorem 3. *Suppose f satisfies the condition of Proposition (1). Then problem (3) with (4) has a solution.*

Proof. Define the operator $T : \mathbb{C}^{(3)}[0, 1] \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{(3)}[0, 1]$ by

$$Tu = \int_0^1 G(x, y)f(s, u(s), u'(s), u''(s), u'''(s))ds.$$

By differentiation with respect to x , we get

$$\begin{aligned} (Tu)'(x) &= \int_0^1 G_x(x, s)f(s, u(s), u'(s), u''(s), u'''(s))ds, \\ (Tu)''(x) &= \int_0^1 G_{xx}(x, s)f(s, u(s), u'(s), u''(s), u'''(s))ds, \\ (Tu)'''(x) &= \int_0^1 G_{xxx}(x, s)f(s, u(s), u'(s), u''(s), u'''(s))ds. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |Tu(x)| &\leq \int_0^1 |G(x, s)||f(s, u(s), u'(s), u''(s), u'''(s))|ds, \\ |(Tu)'(x)| &\leq \int_0^1 |G_x(x, s)||f(s, u(s), u'(s), u''(s), u'''(s))|ds, \\ |(Tu)''(x)| &\leq \int_0^1 |G_{xx}(x, s)||f(s, u(s), u'(s), u''(s), u'''(s))|ds, \\ |(Tu)'''(x)| &\leq \int_0^1 |G_{xxx}(x, s)||f(s, u(s), u'(s), u''(s), u'''(s))|ds. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma (5) and the condition of $f(s, u(s), u'(s), u''(s), u'''(s))$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |Tu(x)| &\leq K_0 \left[a + \int_0^1 [a_0|u| + a_1|u'| + a_2|u''| + a_3|u'''] ds \right], \\ |(Tu)'(x)| &\leq K_1 \left[a + \int_0^1 [a_0|u| + a_1|u'| + a_2|u''| + a_3|u'''] ds \right], \\ |(Tu)''(x)| &\leq K_2 \left[a + \int_0^1 [a_0|u| + a_1|u'| + a_2|u''| + a_3|u'''] ds \right], \\ |(Tu)'''(x)| &\leq K_3 \left[a + \int_0^1 [a_0|u| + a_1|u'| + a_2|u''| + a_3|u'''] ds \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|u^{(i)}(x)| \leq \max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} |u^{(i)}(x)|$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |Tu(x)| &\leq K_0 [a + \|u\|_E], \\ |(Tu)'(x)| &\leq K_1 [a + \|u\|_E], \\ |(Tu)''(x)| &\leq K_2 [a + \|u\|_E], \\ |(Tu)'''(x)| &\leq K_3 [a + \|u\|_E]. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying the last fourth inequalities by a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3 , respectively, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a_0|Tu(x)| &\leq a_0K_0 [a + \|u\|_E], \\ a_1|(Tu)'(x)| &\leq a_1K_1 [a + \|u\|_E], \\ a_2|(Tu)''(x)| &\leq a_2K_2 [a + \|u\|_E], \\ a_3|(Tu)'''(x)| &\leq a_3K_3 [a + \|u\|_E]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|Tu\|_E \leq [a_0K_0 + a_1K_1 + a_2K_2 + a_3K_3][a + \|u\|_E].$$

Using Proposition (1), we obtain

$$\|Tu\|_E \leq M_1,$$

where $M_1 = (a + M)[a_0K_0 + a_1K_1 + a_2K_2 + a_3K_3]$.

Hence T maps the closed, bounded and convex set

$$S = \left\{ u \in \mathbb{C}^{(3)}[0, 1] : |u^{(i)}(x)| \leq M_i, i = 0, \dots, 3 \right\}$$

into itself. T is completely continuous on $\mathbb{C}^{(3)}[0, 1]$ and so is a compact operator by Ascoli's theorem. The Schauder's fixed point theorem then yields the fixed point of T , which is a solution of the given nonlinear boundary value problem. \square

Another result similar to [6] is that

Theorem 4. Let $f : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy a Lipschitz condition

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x, u(x), u'(x), u''(x), u'''(x)) - f(x, v(x), v'(x), v''(x), v'''(x))| &< a_0|u(x) - v(x)| \\ &+ a_1|u'(x) - v'(x)| + a_2|u''(x) - v''(x)| + a_3|u'''(x) - v'''(x)|, \end{aligned}$$

where $a_i > 0$, $i = 0, \dots, 3$. Assume also that $a_0K_0 + a_1K_1 + a_2K_2 + a_3K_3 < 1$. Thus there exists a unique solution to the boundary value problem Pr.(4)-(3).

Proof. It must be shown that T is a contraction map. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} |Tu(x) - Tv(x)| &\leq K_0\|u - v\|_3, \\ |(Tu)'(x) - (Tv)'(x)| &\leq K_1\|u - v\|_3 \\ |(Tu)''(x) - (Tv)''(x)| &\leq K_2\|u - v\|_3 \\ |(Tu)'''(x) - (Tv)'''(x)| &\leq K_3\|u - v\|_3. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\|Tu - Tv\|_E \leq [a_0K_0 + a_1K_1 + a_2K_2 + a_3K_3]\|u - v\|_3.$$

By hypothesis, $a_0K_0 + a_1K_1 + a_2K_2 + a_3K_3 < 1$. Therefore T is a contraction. Hence there exists a unique solution u such that $Tu = u$. \square

Example 1. *Let*

$$u^{(4)} = \frac{1}{10}e^x u + \frac{1}{5} \sin(u') - \frac{1}{8}u'' + \frac{x}{10}u''''.$$

Here $f(x, u, u', u'', u''') = \frac{1}{10}e^x u + \frac{1}{5} \sin(u') - \frac{1}{8}u'' + \frac{x}{10}u''''$, $x \in [0, 1]$. Thus, the mean value theorem gives us

$$\left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \right| = \left| \frac{1}{10}e^x \right| \leq \frac{1}{10} = a_0, \quad \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial u'} \right| = \frac{1}{5} |\cos| \leq \frac{1}{5} = a_1,$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial u''} \right| = \frac{1}{8} = a_2, \quad \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial u'''} \right| = \frac{x}{10} \leq \frac{1}{10} = a_3.$$

Since $a_0K_0 + a_1K_1 + a_2K_2 + a_3K_3 < 1$. Thus the given problem has a unique solution.

REFERENCES

- [1] Usmani, R.A., A uniqueness theorem for a boundary value problem, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 77 pp. 329-335, 1979.
- [2] Timoshenko, S., Woinowsky-Krieger, S., *Theory of plates and shells*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1959.
- [3] Zamorska, I., Solution of differential equation for the Euler-Bernoulli beam equation, *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computational Mechanics*, 13(4), pp. 157-162, 2014.
- [4] Yang, Y.S., Fourth-order two-point boundary value problems, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 104, pp. 175-180, 1988.
- [5] Donald, C.B., Inequalities involving integrals of functions and their derivatives, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 17, pp. 292-308, 1967.
- [6] Olga, A.T., The Green's Function Method for Solutions of Fourth Order Nonlinear Boundary Value Problem, A Thesis Presented for the Master of Science Degree The University of Tennessee, Knoxville, 2013.

IMAM MOHAMMAD IBN SAUD ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY (IMSIU),
 FACULTY OF SCIENCE, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 P.O. BOX 90950, RIYADH 11623, SAUDI ARABIA
E-mail address: Ralrajhi@imamu.edu.sa

IMAM MOHAMMAD IBN SAUD ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY (IMSIU),
 FACULTY OF SCIENCE, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 P.O. BOX 90950, RIYADH 11623, SAUDI ARABIA
E-mail address: lbbougoffa@imamu.edu.sa