

**SOME PROPERTIES OF CERTAIN SUBCLASSES OF ANALYTIC
 FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH GENERALIZED DIFFERENTIAL
 OPERATOR INVOLVING MITTAG-LEFFLER FUNCTION**

SUHILA ELHADDAD AND MASLINA DARUS

ABSTRACT. A subclass in the open unit disc of analytic functions is introduced in this paper. This subclass $\mathcal{S}_\theta^{m,\delta}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, A, B)$ is mainly defined by generalized differential Operator. Some interesting properties of this class are also obtained.

1. INTRODUCTION

We begin by letting $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ be the open unit disk of the complex plane and $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{U})$ denote the class of analytic functions. For $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{H}[a, n]$ be the subclass of \mathcal{H} consisting of the functions of the form

$$f(z) = a + a_n z^n + a_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots, \quad (a \in \mathbb{C}).$$

And, let \mathcal{A} be the subclass of \mathcal{H} consisting of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad a_k \geq 1. \tag{1}$$

A function f belonging to the class \mathcal{A} is said to be convex of order α ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$) if and only if $\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > \alpha$, $z \in \mathbb{U}$. This class is denoted by $\mathcal{C}(\alpha)$. On the other hand, a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be starlike of order α ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$) if and only if $\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \alpha$, $z \in \mathbb{U}$. This class is denoted by $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$.

The Hadamard product for two analytic functions f defined in (1) and

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k \quad b_k \geq 1,$$

is given by

$$f(z) * g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k.$$

Given two analytic functions f and g in \mathbb{U} , the subordination between them is written as $f \prec g$ or $f(z) \prec g(z)$. In addition, we say $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$ if there is a Schwarz function w with $w(z) = 0$, $|w(z)| < 1$, ($z \in \mathbb{U}$) such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$ for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$. Furthermore, if $g(z)$ is univalent in \mathbb{U} , then $f \prec g$ if and only if $f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(\mathbb{U}) \subseteq g(\mathbb{U})$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C45, 30C50.

Key words and phrases. analytic functions, differential operator, Mittag-Leffler function, starlike and convex functions, Differential subordination.

The following defines the familiar Mittag-Leffler function $E_\alpha(z)$ introduced by Mittag-Leffler [7] and [8] and its generalization $E_{\alpha,\beta}(z)$ introduced by Wiman [15]

$$E_\alpha(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + 1)},$$

and

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)},$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > 0$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\beta) > 0$.

As a result, a lot of useful work have been made by many researchers in attempt to explain Mittag-Leffler function and its generalization, see for example [13], [2], [9], [11], and [14].

Now, we define the function $Q_{\alpha,\beta}(z)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\alpha,\beta}(z) &= z\Gamma(\beta)E_{\alpha,\beta}(z) \\ &= z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha(k-1) + \beta)} z^k. \end{aligned}$$

Corresponding to $Q_{\alpha,\beta}(z)$, Elhaddad et al.[3] defined the differential operator $D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ as follows :

$$D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 + (k-1)\lambda]^m \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha(k-1) + \beta)} a_k z^k, \quad (2)$$

where $m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $\lambda \geq 0$.

We can easily verify from (2) that

$$D_\lambda^{m+1}(\alpha, \beta)f(z) = (1 - \lambda)D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f(z) + \lambda z(D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f(z))' \quad (3)$$

Note that

- for $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 1$ we get Al-Oboudi operator [1];
- for $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = 1$, $\lambda = 1$ we get Sălăgean operator [10];
- for $m = 0$ we get $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha,\beta}(z)$ [13].

Next, as a result of full utilization of the differential operator $D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f(z)$ and the principle of subordination, we define and study the class $\mathcal{S}_\vartheta^{m,\delta}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, A, B)$ as follows :

Definition 1. A function f belonging to the class \mathcal{A} is said to be in the class $\mathcal{S}_\vartheta^{m,\delta}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, A, B)$ if it satisfies the following subordination condition

$$(1 - \vartheta) \left(\frac{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta + \vartheta \left(\frac{D_\lambda^{m+1}(\alpha, \beta)f(z)}{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f(z)} \right) \left(\frac{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz},$$

where $\vartheta \in \mathbb{C}$, $\operatorname{Re}(\delta) > 0$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $\lambda \geq 0$, $-1 \leq B \leq 1$ and $A \neq B \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Note that, if we set $m = 0$, $\lambda = 1$, $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 1$ in the class $\mathcal{S}_\vartheta^{m,\delta}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, A, B)$, then we get the class studied by Liu [4].

In order to prove and validate above results, following preliminary results are required.

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Lemma 1. (see [5]). Let the function h be analytic and univalent (convex) in \mathbb{U} with $h(0) = 1$. Suppose also that the function φ given by

$$\varphi(z) = 1 + c_n z^n + c_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots,$$

is analytic in \mathbb{U} . If

$$\varphi(z) + \frac{z\varphi'(z)}{\varsigma} \prec h(z) \quad (\operatorname{Re}(\varsigma) \geq 0; \varsigma \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

then

$$\varphi(z) \prec \phi(z) = \frac{\varsigma}{n} z^{\frac{-\varsigma}{n}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{\varsigma}{n}-1} h(t) dt \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

and $\phi(z)$ is the best dominant.

Lemma 2. (see [12]). Let $q(z)$ be a convex univalent function in \mathbb{U} and let $\sigma, \eta \in \mathbb{C}$ with

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} \right\} > \max \left\{ 0, -\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\sigma}{\eta} \right) \right\}.$$

If the function p is analytic in \mathbb{U} and

$$\sigma p(z) + \eta z p'(z) \prec \sigma q(z) + \eta z q'(z),$$

then

$$p(z) \prec q(z),$$

and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.

Lemma 3. (see [6]). Let q be convex univalent in \mathbb{U} and $k \in \mathbb{C}$. Further assume that $\operatorname{Re}(k) > 0$, if

$$p(z) \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap \mathcal{Q},$$

and $p(z) + kzp'(z)$ is univalent in \mathbb{U} , then

$$q(z) + kzq'(z) \prec p(z) + kzp'(z)$$

Implies $q(z) \prec p(z)$ and $q(z)$ is the best subdominant

3. MAIN RESULT

In what follows we focus to study a set of interesting characteristics of the class $\mathcal{S}_\vartheta^{m,\delta}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, A, B)$

Theorem 1. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_\vartheta^{m,\delta}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, A, B)$. Then

$$\left(\frac{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta \prec \frac{\delta}{\lambda n \vartheta} \int_0^1 \frac{1 + Azu}{1 + Bzu} u^{\frac{\delta}{\lambda n \vartheta} - 1} du \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz},$$

where $\vartheta \in \mathbb{C}$, $\operatorname{Re}(\delta) > 0$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $\lambda \geq 0$, $-1 \leq B \leq 1$ and $A \neq B \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Proof. Define the function $p(z)$ by

$$p(z) = \left(\frac{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (4)$$

Then the function $p(z)$ is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $p(0) = 1$. Therefore, differentiating (4) logarithmically with respect to z , and using the identity (3), we get

$$(1 - \vartheta) \left(\frac{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta + \vartheta \left(\frac{D_\lambda^{m+1}(\alpha, \beta)f(z)}{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f(z)} \right) \left(\frac{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta \\ \prec p(z) + \frac{\lambda\vartheta}{\delta} zp'(z) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (5)$$

By applying Lemma 1 in the last equation, we have

$$\left(\frac{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta \prec \frac{\delta}{\lambda n \vartheta} z^{-\frac{\delta}{\lambda n \vartheta}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{\delta}{\lambda n \vartheta} - 1} \frac{1 + At}{1 + Bt} du \\ = \frac{\varsigma}{n} \int_0^1 u^{\frac{\varsigma}{n} - 1} \frac{1 + Azu}{1 + Bzu} du \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where $\varsigma = \frac{\delta}{\lambda \vartheta}$.

The proof of Theorem 1 is complete. \square

Theorem 2. Let $q(z)$ be univalent in \mathbb{U} . Suppose also that $q(z)$ satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} \right\} > \max \left\{ 0, -\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\delta}{\lambda \vartheta} \right) \right\}. \quad (6)$$

If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the following subordination

$$(1 - \vartheta) \left(\frac{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta + \vartheta \left(\frac{D_\lambda^{m+1}(\alpha, \beta)f(z)}{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f(z)} \right) \left(\frac{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta \\ \prec q(z) + \frac{\lambda\vartheta}{\delta} zq'(z), \quad (7)$$

then

$$\left(\frac{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta \prec q(z),$$

and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.

Proof. Let $p(z)$ be defined by (4). We know that (5) is true. Combining (5) and (7), we see that

$$p(z) + \frac{\lambda\vartheta}{\delta} zp'(z) \prec q(z) + \frac{\lambda\vartheta}{\delta} zq'(z). \quad (8)$$

By using Lemma 2 and (8), we get the assertion of Theorem 2. \square

Taking $q(z) = \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}$ in Theorem 2, we get the following result.

Corollary 1. Let $\vartheta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$. Suppose also that $\frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}$ satisfies the condition (6). If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the following subordination

$$(1 - \vartheta) \left(\frac{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta + \vartheta \left(\frac{D_\lambda^{m+1}(\alpha, \beta)f(z)}{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f(z)} \right) \left(\frac{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta \\ \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} + \frac{\lambda\vartheta(A - B)z}{\delta(1 + Bz)^2},$$

then

$$\left(\frac{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz},$$

and $\frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}$ is the best dominant.

Theorem 3. Let $q(z)$ be convex univalent in \mathbb{U} , $\vartheta \in \mathbb{C}$, with $\Re(\vartheta) > 0$. Also let $\left(\frac{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q$ and

$$(1 - \vartheta) \left(\frac{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta + \vartheta \left(\frac{D_\lambda^{m+1}(\alpha, \beta)f(z)}{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f(z)} \right) \left(\frac{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta$$

be univalent in U . If

$$q(z) + \frac{\lambda\vartheta}{\delta} zq'(z) \prec (1 - \vartheta) \left(\frac{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta + \vartheta \left(\frac{D_\lambda^{m+1}(\alpha, \beta)f(z)}{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f(z)} \right) \left(\frac{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta,$$

then

$$q(z) \prec \left(\frac{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta,$$

and $q(z)$ is the best subdominant.

Proof. Let $p(z)$ be defined by (4). Then

$$q(z) + \frac{\lambda\vartheta}{\delta} zq'(z) \prec (1 - \vartheta) \left(\frac{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta + \vartheta \left(\frac{D_\lambda^{m+1}(\alpha, \beta)f(z)}{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f(z)} \right) \left(\frac{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta = p(z) + \frac{\lambda\vartheta}{\delta} zp'(z).$$

An application of Lemma 3 yields the assertion of Theorem 3. \square

Corollary 2. Let $q(z)$ be convex univalent in \mathbb{U} and $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, $\vartheta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re(\vartheta) > 0$. Also let $\left(\frac{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q$ and

$$(1 - \vartheta) \left(\frac{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta + \vartheta \left(\frac{D_\lambda^{m+1}(\alpha, \beta)f(z)}{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f(z)} \right) \left(\frac{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta$$

be univalent in U . If

$$\frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} + \frac{\lambda\vartheta(A - B)z}{\delta(1 + Bz)^2} \prec (1 - \vartheta) \left(\frac{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta + \vartheta \left(\frac{D_\lambda^{m+1}(\alpha, \beta)f(z)}{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f(z)} \right) \left(\frac{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta,$$

then

$$\frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \prec \left(\frac{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta,$$

and $\frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}$ is the best subdominant.

Theorem 4. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_\vartheta^{m,\delta}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, A, B)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{z \in \mathbb{U}} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\delta}{\lambda n \vartheta} \int_0^1 \frac{1 + Azu}{1 + Bzu} u^{\frac{\delta}{\lambda n \vartheta} - 1} du \right\} &< \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \left(\frac{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta \right\} \\ &< \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\delta}{\lambda n \vartheta} \int_0^1 \frac{1 + Azu}{1 + Bzu} u^{\frac{\delta}{\lambda n \vartheta} - 1} du \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\vartheta \in \mathbb{C}$, $\operatorname{Re}(\delta) > 0$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\lambda \geq 0$, $-1 \leq B \leq 1$ and $A \neq B \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Proof. Suppose that $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_\vartheta^{m,\delta}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, A, B)$, then from Theorem 1 we know that

$$\left(\frac{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta \prec \frac{\delta}{\lambda n \vartheta} \int_0^1 \frac{1 + Azu}{1 + Bzu} u^{\frac{\delta}{\lambda n \vartheta} - 1} du.$$

Thus, from the definition of the subordination, we get

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \left(\frac{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta \right\} > \inf_{z \in \mathbb{U}} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\delta}{\lambda n \vartheta} \int_0^1 \frac{1 + Azu}{1 + Bzu} u^{\frac{\delta}{\lambda n \vartheta} - 1} du \right\}$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \left(\frac{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta \right\} < \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\delta}{\lambda n \vartheta} \int_0^1 \frac{1 + Azu}{1 + Bzu} u^{\frac{\delta}{\lambda n \vartheta} - 1} du \right\}$$

□

Corollary 3. Let $\vartheta \in \mathbb{C}$, $\operatorname{Re}(\delta) > 0$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\lambda \geq 0$ and $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$. If $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_\vartheta^{m,\delta}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, A, B)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta}{\lambda n \vartheta} \int_0^1 \frac{1 - Au}{1 - Bu} u^{\frac{\delta}{\lambda n \vartheta} - 1} du &< \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \left(\frac{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta \right\} \\ &< \frac{\delta}{\lambda n \vartheta} \int_0^1 \frac{1 + Au}{1 + Bu} u^{\frac{\delta}{\lambda n \vartheta} - 1} du \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Suppose that $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_\vartheta^{m,\delta}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, A, B)$, then from Theorem 1 we know that

$$\left(\frac{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta \prec \frac{\delta}{\lambda n \vartheta} \int_0^1 \frac{1 + Azu}{1 + Bzu} u^{\frac{\delta}{\lambda n \vartheta} - 1} du$$

(9)

Thus, from the definition of the subordination, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \left(\frac{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta)f(z)}{z} \right)^\delta \right\} &> \inf_{z \in \mathbb{U}} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\delta}{\lambda n \vartheta} \int_0^1 \frac{1 + Azu}{1 + Bzu} u^{\frac{\delta}{\lambda n \vartheta} - 1} du \right\} \\ &\geq \frac{\delta}{\lambda n \vartheta} \int_0^1 \inf_{z \in \mathbb{U}} \left\{ \frac{1 + Azu}{1 + Bzu} \right\} u^{\frac{\delta}{\lambda n \vartheta} - 1} du \\ &> \frac{\delta}{\lambda n \vartheta} \int_0^1 \frac{1 - Au}{1 - Bu} u^{\frac{\delta}{\lambda n \vartheta} - 1} du, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \left(\frac{D_{\lambda}^m(\alpha, \beta) f(z)}{z} \right)^{\delta} \right\} &< \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\delta}{\lambda n \vartheta} \int_0^1 \frac{1 + Azu}{1 + Bzu} u^{\frac{\delta}{\lambda n \vartheta} - 1} du \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{\delta}{\lambda n \vartheta} \int_0^1 \sup_{z \in \mathbb{U}} \left\{ \frac{1 + Azu}{1 + Bzu} \right\} u^{\frac{\delta}{\lambda n \vartheta} - 1} du \\ &< \frac{\delta}{\lambda n \vartheta} \int_0^1 \frac{1 + Au}{1 + Bu} u^{\frac{\delta}{\lambda n \vartheta} - 1} du, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the result. \square

Acknowledgement: The work here is supported by Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia grant: GUP-2017-064.

REFERENCES

- [1] Al-Oboudi, F.M., *On univalent functions defined by a generalized Sălăgean operator*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., **27** (2004), 1429–1436.
- [2] Attiya, A.A., *Some applications of Mittag-Leffler function in the unit disk*, Filomat, **30** (2016), 2075–2081.
- [3] Elhaddad, S., Aldweby, H., Darus, M., *On certain subclasses of analytic functions involving differential operator*, Jñānābha, **48**(1)(2018), in press.
- [4] Liu, M.S., *On certain class of analytic functions defined by differential subordination*, Acta Math. Sci., **22**(2002), 388–392.
- [5] Miller, S.S., Mocanu, P.T., *Differential subordination: Theory and Applications*, in : *Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 225, Marcel Dekker, New York 2000.
- [6] Miller, S.S., Mocanu, P.T., *Subordinants of differential superordinations*, Complex Var., **48**(2003), 815–826.
- [7] Mittag-Leffler, G.M., *Sur la nouvelle fonction $E_{\alpha}(x)$* , CR Acad. Sci. Paris, **137**(2) (1903), 554–558.
- [8] Mittag-Leffler, G.M., *Sur la representation analytique d'une branche uniforme d'une fonction monogène*, Acta Mathematica, **29**(1) (1905), 101–181.
- [9] Rehman, H., Darus, M., Salah, J., *Coefficient properties involving the generalized k -Mittag-Leffler functions*, Transyl. J. Math. Mech.(TJMM), **9**(2) (2017), 155–164.
- [10] Sălăgean, G.S., *Subclasses of univalent functions*, Lecture Notes in Math., Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, **1013** (1983), 362–372.
- [11] Salah, J., Darus, M., *A note on generalized Mittag-Leffler function and application*, Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences(FJMS), **48**(1) (2011), 33–46.
- [12] Shanmugam, T.N., Ravichandran, V., Sivasubramanian, S., *Differential sandwich theorems for subclasses of analytic functions*, Aust. J. Math Anal Appl., **3**(2006), 1–11.
- [13] Srivastava, H.M., Frasin, B.A., Pescar, V., *Univalence of integral operators involving Mittag-Leffler functions*, Appl. Math. Inf. Sci., **11**(3) (2017), 635–641.
- [14] Srivastava, H.M., Tomovski, Ž., *Fractional calculus with an integral operator containing a generalized Mittag-Leffler function in the kernel*, Appl. Math. Comput., **211** (2009), 198–210.
- [15] Wiman, A., *Über den fundamentalsatz in der theorie der funktionen $E_{\alpha}(x)$* , Acta Mathematica, **29**(1) (1905), 191–201.

UNIVERSITI KEBANGSAAN MALAYSIA
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, 43600, BANGI, MALAYSIA
E-mail address: suhila.e@yahoo.com

UNIVERSITI KEBANGSAAN MALAYSIA
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, 43600, BANGI, MALAYSIA
E-mail address: maslina@ukm.edu.my