

POLYNOMIAL COEFFICIENTS AND APPROXIMATION ERRORS OF ENTIRE SERIES

HUZOOR. H. KHAN AND RIFAQAT ALI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we consider the maximum of entire function $f(z)$ over a certain lemniscate instead of considering the maximum of $f(z)$ on $|f(z)| = r$ and obtained analogous results for entire functions of the form $f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q_k(z)[\gamma(z)]^{k-1}$, where $\gamma(z)$ is a polynomial of degree m and $q_k(z)$ is of degree $(m-1)$. The (p, q) -order and generalized (p, q) -type have been characterized in terms of Polynomial Coefficients and L^s -approximation errors, $1 \leq s \leq \infty$. Finally, a saturation theorem for $f(z)$ which can be extended to a entire function of (p, q) -order 0 or 1 and for entire functions of minimal generalized (p, q) -type have been obtained.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ be a nonconstant entire function and assume that $a_k \neq 0$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$. We set $M(r, f) = \max_{|z|=r} |f(z)|$ and $\mu(r, f) = \max_{n \geq 0} \{ |a_n| r^n \}$, $M(r, f)$ and $\mu(r, f)$ are called the maximum modulus and maximum term respectively.

For classifying entire functions their growth, the concept of order was introduced. If the order is a (finite) positive number, then the concept of type permits a subclassification. For the class of order $\rho = 0$ and $\rho = \infty$ no subclassification is possible. For example all entire functions that grow at least as fast as $\exp(\exp(z))$ have to be kept in one class. For this reason, numerous attempts have been made to refine the concept of order and type. It is known that the order ρ ($0 \leq \rho \leq \infty$) and the type T ($0 \leq T \leq \infty$) are give by [1, p. 9-11]

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r, f)}{\log r} = \rho = \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k \log k}{\log |a_k|^{-1}} \tag{1}$$

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M(r, f)}{r^\rho} = T = \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{e\rho} k |a_k|^{\rho/k} \right). \tag{2}$$

In this paper we have picked up a concept of (p, q) -order and (p, q) -type which was introduced by Juneja et al ([4, 5]) for further classification of entire function of order $\rho = 0$ and $\rho = \infty$ and show that instead of considering the maximum of $|f(z)|$, for $|z| = r$, we can consider the maximum of $f(z)$ over a certain lemniscate and obtain the analogous results for the entire function $f(z)$ when it is expanded in the form [12, pp. 56], $f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q_k(z)[\gamma(z)]^{k-1}$ where $\gamma(z)$ is a polynomial of degree m and $q_k(z)$ is of degree $m - 1$ and the equipotential curve $|\gamma(z)| = R$ defines the lemniscate mentioned above.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30E10, 41A15.

Key words and phrases. Lemniscate, index-pair, proximate order, (p, q) -order, generalized type, approximation errors and polynomial coefficients.

2. DEFINITIONS AND AUXILIARY RESULTS

First we introduce the concept of (p, q) -scale, $p \geq q \geq 1$, and certain notations which will be frequently used in the text

$$\exp^{[m]}x = \log^{[-m]}x = \exp(\exp^{[m-1]}x) = \log(\log^{[-m-1]}x), m = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots,$$

$$\Lambda_{[r]}(x) = \prod_{i=0}^r \log^{[i]}x \text{ for } r = 0, 1, \dots,$$

$$P(L(p, q)) = \begin{cases} L(p, q) & \text{if } q < p < \infty, \\ 1 + L(p, q) & \text{if } p = q = 2, \\ \max(1, L(p, q)) & \text{if } 3 \leq p \leq q, \\ \infty & \text{if } p = q = \infty, \end{cases}$$

Definition 1. An entire function $f(z)$ is said to be of (p, q) -order $\rho(p, q)$ if it is of index-pair (p, q) such that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]}M(r, f)}{\log^{[q]}r} = \rho(p, q),$$

and the function $f(z)$ having (p, q) -order $\rho(p, q)$ ($b < \rho(p, q) < \infty$) is said to be of (p, q) -type $T(p, q)$ if

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-1]}M(r, f)}{\left(\log^{[q-1]}r\right)^{\rho(p, q)}} = T(p, q), \quad 0 \leq T(p, q) \leq \infty,$$

where $b = 1$ if $p = q$, $b = 0$ if $p > q$.

These concepts are inadequate to compare the growth of those functions which are of the same order and of infinite type. Hence, for a refinement of the above growth scale, one may utilize proximate order the concept of which is extended by Nandan et al [9] to entire functions with (p, q) -growth as

Definition 2. A positive function $\rho_{p, q}(r)$ defined on $[r_0, \infty)$, $r_0 > \exp^{[q-1]}1$, is said to be the proximate order of an entire function with index-pair (p, q) if

- (i) $\rho_{p, q}(r) \rightarrow \rho(p, q)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, ($b < \rho(p, q) < \infty$),
- (ii) $\Lambda_{[q]}(r) \rho'_{p, q}(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$; $\rho'_{p, q}(r)$ denotes the derivative of $\rho_{p, q}(r)$.

It is known that [9, Thm. 4] that $\left(\log^{[q-1]}r\right)^{\rho_{p, q}(r)-A}$ is a monotonically increasing function of r for $r > r_0$, where $A = 1$ if $(p, q) = (2, 2)$ and $A = 0$ otherwise. Hence we can define the function $\phi(x)$ for $x > x_0$ to be the unique solution of equation

$$x = \left(\log^{[q-1]}r\right)^{\rho_{p, q}(r)-A} \Leftrightarrow \phi(x) = \log^{[q-1]}r.$$

Definition 3. Let $f(z)$ be an entire function of (p, q) -order $\rho(p, q)$ ($b < \rho(p, q) < \infty$) such that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-1]}M(r, f)}{\left(\log^{[q-1]}r\right)^{\rho_{p, q}(r)}} = T^*(p, q), \quad 0 \leq T^*(p, q) \leq \infty.$$

If the quantity $T^*(p, q)$ is different from zero and infinite then $\rho_{p, q}(r)$ said to be the proximate order of a given entire function $f(z)$ and $T^*(p, q)$ as its generalized (p, q) -type.

Definition 4. An entire function with index-pair (p, q) is said to be of minimal, normal and maximal (p, q) -type with respect to a proximate order according as $T^*(p, q)$ as zero, positive finite and infinite respectively.

Rice [10] has extended the results (1) and (2) by considering the polynomial expansion of $f(z)$ of the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q_k(z)[\gamma(z)]^{k-1}. \quad (3)$$

If Γ_R be the lemniscate $\Gamma_R = \{z : |\gamma(z)| = R\}$, $\|\Gamma_R\|$ be the length of Γ_R and $M(\Gamma_R, f) = \|f\|_{\Gamma_R} = \max_{z \in \Gamma_R} |f(z)|$, then using the estimate

$$\|\Gamma_R\| = 2\pi R^{\frac{1}{m}}(1 + o(1)) \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty,$$

be showed that $f(z)$ given by (3) is an entire function of order ρ , if and only if,

$$\limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(\Gamma_R, f)}{\log R} = \rho/m,$$

and that $f(z)$ is of order $\rho > 0$ and type $T(0 < T < \infty)$, if and only if,

$$\limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M(\Gamma_R, f)}{R^{\rho/m}} = T.$$

The generalization of (1) and (2) read as follows:

Let α be fixed. Then $f(z)$, given by (3), is an entire function of order $\rho > 0$, if and only if,

$$\rho = m \limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k \log k}{\log \left(\|q_k(z)\|_{\Gamma_\alpha} \right)^{-1}}, \quad (4)$$

and that it is of order $\rho > 0$ and type $T(0 < T < \infty)$, if and only if

$$e\rho T = m \limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \log \left(\|q_k(z)\|_{\Gamma_\alpha} \right)^{\rho/mn} \quad (5)$$

Results (4) and (5) depict the influence of the rate of decrease of $\|q_k(z)\|_{\Gamma_\alpha}$ on the growth of $f(z)$. But, as in the case of power series, these results also do not give any precise information about the fast and slow growth and if $f(z)$ has same order and infinite type. For this purpose, in the present paper, we obtain the formulae for (p, q) -order and generalized (p, q) -type of $f(z)$ given by (5) in terms of the polynomial coefficients $\|q_k(z)\|_{\Gamma_\alpha}$ and polynomial approximation error in L^s -norm, $1 \leq s \leq \infty$. Finally we have obtained a saturation theorem for $f(z)$ which can be extended to be an entire function of (p, q) -order 0 or 1 and for entire function of minimal generalized (p, q) -type order. Our results include some results of Sato [11], Rice [10], Juneja [2], and Juneja and Kapoor [3]. Recently Kumar [7] studied the results for (α, α) order and Kumar and Kaur [8] obtained the results for (α, β) -orders for analytic functions. However, they have to study separately the entire functions of slow and fast growth. That is why in our studies the (p, q) -growth has been preferred to the (α, α) and (α, β) -growths.

Consider the function

$$H_\alpha(w) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|q_k(z)\|_{\Gamma_\alpha} w^k, \quad \alpha < R,$$

where $\|q_k(z)\|_{\Gamma_\alpha} = \max_{z \in \Gamma_R} \{ |q_k(z)| \}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. It is known [10, Lemma 2] that if $f(z)$ is analytic in Γ_R , then there exists a polynomial $Q(z)$ of degree $m - 1$ independent of k and R such that for $\alpha < R$ and $k = 1, 2, \dots$

$$\|q_k(z)\|_{\Gamma_\alpha} \leq \frac{\|\Gamma_R\| M(\Gamma_R, f)}{2\pi R^k} \|Q(z)\|_{\Gamma_R}. \quad (6)$$

Using (6) we can easily seen that $H_\alpha(w)$ is entire if and only if

$$\left[\|q_k(z)\|_{\Gamma_\alpha} \right]^{1/k} = 0. \quad (7)$$

Moreover, $H_\alpha(w) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|q_k(z)\|_{\Gamma_\alpha} w^k$, holds in the whole complex plane.

Lemma 1. $f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q_k(z)[\gamma(z)]^{k-1}$ is an entire function of (p, q) -order $\rho(p, q, f)$ if and only if

$$\limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M(\Gamma_R, f)}{\log^{[q]} R} = \rho(p, q, f)/m.$$

Further, if the (p, q) -order of $f(z)$ is $(b < \rho(p, q, f) < \infty)$, then it is of (p, q) -type $T^*(p, q, f)$, if and only if,

$$\limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-1]} M(\Gamma_R, f)}{\left(\log^{[q-1]} R \right)^{\rho_{p,q}(R)/m}} = T^*(p, q, f)/m.$$

Proof. The lemma follows on the lines similar to those of Rice [10, Lemma 3].

Let B^* be a component of the complement of the closure of the Caratheodory domain B that contains the point ∞ . Set $B_R = \{z : |\bar{\phi}(z)| = R\}$, $R > 1$ where that function $w^* = \bar{\phi}(z)$ Maps B^* conformally onto $|w^*| > 1$ such that $\bar{\phi}(\infty) = \infty$ and $\bar{\phi}'(\infty) > 0$. Here B_R is the largest equipotential curve of the modulus of the mapping function associated with the domain B, B_1 , correspond to the boundary of B .

Given $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a lemniscate $\Gamma_\alpha = \{z : |\gamma(z)| = \alpha\}$ so that Γ_α is interior to $B_{1+\varepsilon}$ and exterior to B_1 . \square

Lemma 2. $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q_k(z)[\gamma(z)]^{k-1}$ be an entire function of (p, q) -order $\rho(p, q, f)$ then

$$\limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} \bar{M}(\Gamma_R, f)}{\log^{[q]} R} = \rho(p, q, f)/m.$$

Further, if the (p, q) -order of $f(z)$ is $(b < \rho(p, q, f) < \infty)$, then it is of (p, q) -type $T^*(p, q, f)$, such that,

$$\limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-1]} \bar{M}(\Gamma_R, f)}{\left(\log^{[q-1]} R \right)^{\rho_{p,q}(R)/m}} = T^*(p, q, f)/m,$$

where $\bar{M}(\Gamma_R, f) = \max_{z \in B_R} |f(z)|$.

Proof. Let z_0 be a fixed point of the set B and $R > 1$. Then using [14], we get

$$R - 2|B| - |z_0| \leq |z| \leq R + |B| + |z_0|, \quad z \in B_R.$$

For $p \geq q \geq 1$, $\xi^* < 1$ and $\eta > 1$, using $\log^{[q]} Kx \simeq \log^{[q]} x$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, $0 < K < \infty$,

$$\frac{\log^{[p]} M(\Gamma_{\xi^* R}, f)}{\log^{[q]} R} \leq \frac{\log^{[p]} \bar{M}(\Gamma_R, f)}{\log^{[q]} R} \leq \frac{\log^{[p]} M(\Gamma_{\eta R}, f)}{\log^{[q]} R}.$$

Also, for $\rho(p, q, f)$ ($b < \rho(p, q, f) < \infty$), using $\log^{[q]}(K + x) \simeq \log^{[q]} x$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\frac{\log^{[p-1]} M(\Gamma_{R-a}, f)}{\left(\log^{[q-1]} R \right)^{\rho_{p,q}(R)/m}} \leq \frac{\log^{[p-1]} \bar{M}(\Gamma_R, f)}{\left(\log^{[q-1]} R \right)^{\rho_{p,q}(R)/m}} \leq \frac{\log^{[p-1]} M(\Gamma_{R+c}, f)}{\left(\log^{[q-1]} R \right)^{\rho_{p,q}(R)/m}},$$

where $a = 2|B| + z_0$, $c = |B| + |z_0|$.

After passing to the limits and taking the Lemma 1 into account this lemma is immediate. \square

Let $L^s(B)$, $1 \leq s \leq \infty$, be the class of all functions f holomorphic on B and satisfying

$$\|f\|_{B,s} = \left[\frac{1}{A^*} \int \int_B |f(z)|^s dx dy \right]^{1/s} < \infty.$$

where the last inequality is understood to be $\sup_{z \in B} |f(z)| < \infty$ for $s = \infty$. Then $\|\cdot\|_{B,s}$ is called the L^s -norm on $L^s(B)$. For $f \in L^s(B)$, we define $E_\xi^s(f)$, the error in approximating the function f by polynomial of degree at most $\xi = mn$ in L^s -norm as

$$E_\xi^s(f) = E_\xi^s(f, B) = \inf_{t \in \pi_\xi} \|f - t\|_{B,s}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

where π_ξ consists of all polynomials of degree at most $\xi = mn$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section we shall prove our main results.

Theorem 1. *If $f \in L^s(B)$, $1 \leq s \leq \infty$, can be extended to an entire function with index-pair (p, q) , (p, q) -order $\rho(p, q, f)$ ($b < \rho(p, q, f) < \infty$) and generalized (p, q) -order $T^*(p, q, f)$, then for every $\|q_k(z)\|_{\Gamma_\alpha}$, there exists an entire function $H_\alpha(w) =$*

$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|q_k(z)\|_{\Gamma_\alpha} w^k$, such that

$$\rho(p, q, f)/m = \rho(p, q, H_\alpha) \text{ and } T^*(p, q, f) = T^*(p, q, H_\alpha) \quad (8)$$

Proof. By virtue of (7) H_α is an entire function. From [13, p.77] for $R > \alpha$, we have

$$\|q_k(z)\|_{\Gamma_R} \leq \|q_k(z)\|_{\Gamma_\alpha} R^{m-1},$$

for $z \in \Gamma_R$

$$|f(z)| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|q_k(z)\|_{\Gamma_R} \|\gamma(z)\|_{\Gamma_R}^{k-1}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{M}(\Gamma_R, f) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|q_k(z)\|_{\Gamma_R} R^{k+m-2}, \quad z \in B_k \\ &= R^{m-2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|q_k(z)\|_{\Gamma_R} R^k \\ &= R^{m-2} H_\alpha(R), \quad R > 1. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 1, we observe that for all index-pair (p, q) .

$$\rho(p, q, f)/m \leq \rho(p, q, H_\alpha) \text{ and } T^*(p, q, f) \leq T^*(p, q, H_\alpha). \quad (9)$$

Consider the power series expansion of $H_\alpha(w)$, we have from Lemma 1, Lemma 2 and (6) for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} H_\alpha(R/e^\varepsilon) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|q_k(z)\|_{\Gamma_\alpha} (R/e^\varepsilon)^k \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{M}(\Gamma_R, f) \|\Gamma_R\| \|Q\|_{\Gamma_\alpha} (R/e^\varepsilon)^k}{2\pi R^k} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \bar{M}(\Gamma_R, f) R^{1/m} (1 + O(1)) \|Q\|_{\Gamma_\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{e^{k\varepsilon}} \\
&= \bar{M}(\Gamma_R, f) R^{1/m} (1 + O(1)) \|Q\|_{\Gamma_\alpha} \frac{1}{e^{\varepsilon-1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus in view of above inequality and Lemma 1, lemma 2 for $p \geq 2$ and $q \geq 1$,

$$\rho(p, q, H_\alpha) \leq \rho(p, q, f)/m \text{ and } T^*(p, q, H_\alpha) \leq T^*(p, q, f). \quad (10)$$

Combining (9) and (10), the proof is completed for $s = \infty$. The result can be proved for $0 \leq s < \infty$ in a similar manner. \square

Theorem 2. *If $f \in L^s(B)$, $1 \leq s \leq \infty$, can be extended to be an entire function with index-pair (p, q) , (p, q) -order $\rho(p, q, f)$ ($b < \rho(p, q, f) < \infty$) and generalized (p, q) -type $T^*(p, q, f)$, then for every $E_\xi^s(f)$, there exists an entire function $\tilde{H}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E_\xi^s(f) t^k$, such that*

$$\rho(p, q, f)/m = \rho(p, q, \tilde{H}) \text{ and } T^*(p, q, f) = T^*(p, q, \tilde{H}). \quad (11)$$

Proof. Define the function

$$\tilde{f}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (P_{k+1}(z) - P_k(z)), \quad (12)$$

since

$$|P_{k+1}(z) - P_k(z)| \leq \|P_{k+1}(z) - P_k(z)\| \leq 2 \|f - P_k(z)\|, \quad z \in B.$$

Using Walsh inequality, [13, p.77], we get

$$|P_{k+1}(z) - P_k(z)| \leq 2 \|f - P_k(z)\|_{B,1}^2 R'^k, \quad z \in B_{k'} , \quad R' > 1.$$

Applying Holder inequality, we get

$$\|P_{k+1}(z) - P_k(z)\| R'^k \leq 2 A^{*q'} \|f - P_k(z)\|_{B_{R',q'}},$$

where A^* is defined as earlier and $q' = 1 - 1/s$, $1 \leq s \leq \infty$. Since above inequality holds for any polynomial $P_k(z)$, we have

$$\|P_{k+1}(z) - P_k(z)\| R'^k \leq 2 A^{*q'} E_{k-1}^s(f), \quad 1 \leq s < \infty. \quad (13)$$

From [12], we get

$$E_\xi^s(f) \leq \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \|q_k(z)\|_{\Gamma_R} \alpha^{k-1}.$$

Using (6) it given

$$\leq \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{\|\Gamma_R\| \bar{M}(\Gamma_R, f)}{2\pi R^k} \|Q(z)\|_{\Gamma_R} \alpha^{k-1}.$$

For $\alpha > 1$ be fixed constant and $R > \alpha$, we obtain

$$E_\xi^s(f) \leq \gamma^* \bar{M}(\Gamma_R, f) \left(\frac{\alpha}{R}\right)^n \left(\frac{1}{1 - \alpha/R}\right) R^{1/m} (1 + O(1)), \quad (14)$$

for sufficiently large R.

Using (12) and (14), we obtain from (11) that

$$|\tilde{f}(z)| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|P_{k+1}(z) - P_k(z)\|$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{M}(\Gamma_R, f) &\leq |a_0| + 2A^{*q'} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} E_{k-1}^s(f)(RR')^R, \quad z \in B_R. \\ &\leq |a_0| + 2A^{*q'} (RR')^{1/R} \mu(RR^*, \tilde{H}) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

The right hand side of series (12) converges for every R and therefore, the series on the right of (15) converges uniformly on every compact subset of complex plane and so $\tilde{f}(z)$ is entire and $\tilde{f}(z) = f(z)$. Since $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \left[E_{\xi}^s(f) \right]^{1/\xi} = 0$ by (14) it gives that $\tilde{H}(t)$ is entire. In view of Lemma 1, Lemma 2 and (15) for all index pair (p, q) , we have

$$\rho(p, q, f)/m \leq \rho(p, q, \tilde{H}) \text{ and } T^*(p, q, f) \leq T^*(p, q, \tilde{H}). \quad (16)$$

From (13), we have

$$\mu(R, \alpha \tilde{H}) \leq \gamma^* \bar{M}(\Gamma_R, f) \left(\frac{R^{1+1/m}}{R - \alpha} \right) (1 + O(1)). \quad (17)$$

Again in view of Lemma 1, Lemma 2 and (16) we obtain for $p \geq 2$ and $q \geq 1$,

$$\rho(p, q, f)/m \geq \rho(p, q, \tilde{H}) \text{ and } T^*(p, q, f) \geq T^*(p, q, \tilde{H}). \quad (18)$$

Combining (16) and (18) the proof is complete. \square

Theorem 3. *Let $f(z) \in L^s(B)$, $1 \leq s \leq \infty$. Then $f(z)$ can be extended to be an entire function of (p, q) -order $\rho(p, q, f)$ ($b < \rho(p, q, f) < \infty$) if and only if*

$$\rho(p, q, f)/m = P(L(p, q, \tilde{H})) = P(L(p, q, \tilde{H})),$$

where

$$L(p, q, \tilde{H}) = \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-1]} k}{\log^{[q-1]} \left(\|q_k(z)\|_{\Gamma_\alpha} \right)^{-1/k}}$$

and

$$L(p, q, \tilde{H}) = \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-1]} k}{\log^{[q-1]} \left(E_{\xi}^s(f) \right)^{-1/k}}, \quad \xi = mk.$$

Proof. In Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 we have concluded that $f \in L^s(B)$ can be extended to an entire function if and only if $H_\alpha(w)$ and $\tilde{H}(t)$ are entire functions. Applying corollary 1 by Juneja et al [4, p. 62] to the functions $H_\alpha(w) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|q_k(z)\|_{\Gamma_\alpha} w^k$ and

$$\tilde{H}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E_{\xi}^s(f) t^k, \quad \xi = mk, \text{ with (8) and (11) Theorem 3 follows. } \quad \square$$

Theorem 4. *If $f \in L^s(B)$, $1 \leq s \leq \infty$. Then $f(z)$ can be extended to an entire function of (p, q) -order $\rho(p, q, f)$ ($b < \rho(p, q, f) < \infty$) and generalized (p, q) -type $T^*(p, q, f)$ ($0 < T^*(p, q) < \infty$) if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{T^*(p, q, f)}{M(p, q)} &= \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{\phi \left(\log^{[p-2]} k \right)}{\log^{[q-1]} \left(\|q_k(z)\|_{\Gamma_\alpha} \right)^{-1/k}} \right]^{\frac{\rho(p, q, f) - A}{m}} \\ &= \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{\phi \left(\log^{[p-2]} k \right)}{\log^{[q-1]} \left(E_{\xi}^s(f) \right)^{-1/k}} \right]^{\frac{\rho(p, q, f) - A}{m}}, \quad \xi = mk, \end{aligned}$$

where A is defined as earlier and

$$M(p, q) = \begin{cases} \frac{(\zeta - 1)^{(\zeta-1)}}{\zeta^\zeta} & \text{if } (p, q) = (2, 2), \zeta = \frac{\rho(2, 2)}{m} \\ \frac{m}{e \rho(2, 1)} & \text{if } (p, q) = (2, 1) \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. To prove this theorem apply Theorem 1 by Kasana [6] to the functions $H_\alpha(w)$ and $\tilde{H}(t)$ defined in Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 and the resulting characterization of $T^*(p, q, H_\alpha)$ and $T^*(p, q, \tilde{H})$ in terms of $\|q_k(z)\|_{\Gamma_\alpha}$ and $E_\xi^s(f)$ respectively with relations $T^*(p, q, f) = T^*(p, q, H_\alpha) = T^*(p, q, \tilde{H})$ prove the theorem.

Taking $\rho_{p,q}(R) = \rho(p, q) \forall R > R_0$ and $\phi(x) = \frac{1}{x \left(\frac{\rho(p, q)}{m} \right)^{-A}}$, we get the following

corollary which gives a formula for (p, q) -type $T(p, q, f)$ in terms of the polynomial coefficients and approximation errors of an entire function $f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q_k(z) [\gamma(z)]^{k-1}$ corollary.

Let $f(z) \in L^s(B)$. Then $f(z)$ is the restriction to B of an entire function having (p, q) -order $\rho(p, q, f)$ ($b < \rho(p, q, f) < \infty$) and (p, q) -type $T(p, q, f)$ ($0 < T(p, q, f) < \infty$) if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{T(p, q, f)}{M(p, q)} &= \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-2]} k}{\left[\log^{[q-1]} \left(\|q_k(z)\|_{\Gamma_\alpha} \right)^{-1/k} \right]^{\frac{\rho(p, q, f)}{m} - A}} \\ &= \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-2]} k}{\left[\log^{[q-1]} \left(E_\xi^s(f) \right)^{-1/k} \right]^{\frac{\rho(p, q, f)}{m} - A}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 5. *If $f(z) \in L^s(B)$ can be extended to be an entire function of (p, q) -order $\rho(p, q, f)$ such that $\rho(p, q, f) = b$, then for every $\delta > 0$,*

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left(\log^{[p-2]} k \right)^\delta}{\log^{[q-1]} \left(\|q_k(z)\|_{\Gamma_\alpha} \right)^{-1/k}} = 0.$$

Further, if $\rho(p, q) > b$ and $f(z)$ is of minimal generalized (p, q) -type, then

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\phi \left(\log^{[p-2]} k \right)}{\log^{[q-1]} \left(\|q_k(z)\|_{\Gamma_\alpha} \right)^{-1/k}} = 0.$$

Proof. Since $\rho(p, q, f) = b$, using Lemma 2 for given $\varepsilon > 0$ and $r > r_0$

$$\log \bar{M}(\Gamma_R, f) < \exp^{[p-2]} \left(\log^{[q-1]} \right)^{(b/m)+\varepsilon}. \quad (19)$$

we have

$$H_\alpha(R/e^\varepsilon) \leq \bar{M}(\Gamma_R, f) R^{1/m} (1 + O(1)) \|Q\|_{\Gamma_\alpha} \frac{1}{(e^\varepsilon - 1)}.$$

or

$$\|q_k(z)\|_{\Gamma_\alpha} (R/e^\varepsilon)^k \leq \bar{M}(\Gamma_R, f) R^{1/m} (1 + O(1)) \|Q\|_{\Gamma_\alpha} \frac{1}{(e^\varepsilon - 1)}$$

$$\|q_k(z)\|_{\Gamma_\alpha} \leq \bar{M}(\Gamma_R, f) R^{1/m} (1 + O(1)) \|Q\|_{\Gamma_\alpha} \frac{e^{k\varepsilon}}{(e^\varepsilon - 1)} R^{-k}.$$

Using (19), we get

$$\log \|q_k(z)\|_{\Gamma_\alpha} < \exp^{[p-2]} \left(\log^{[q-1]} R \right)^{(b/m)+\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{m} \log R - R \log R + \log \left(\|Q\|_{\Gamma_\alpha} \frac{e^{k\varepsilon}}{(e^\varepsilon - 1)} \right)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} & \log \left(\|q_k(z)\|_{\Gamma_\alpha} \right)^{1/k} < \\ & \frac{\exp^{[p-2]} \left(\log^{[q-1]} R \right)^{(b/m)+\varepsilon}}{k} + \frac{1}{km} \log R - \log R + \frac{1}{k} \log \left(\|Q\|_{\Gamma_\alpha} \frac{e^{k\varepsilon}}{(e^\varepsilon - 1)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Choose the value of R satisfying

$$R = \exp^{[q-1]} \left(\log^{[p-2]} \frac{k}{(b/m + \varepsilon)} \right)^{1/(b/m + \varepsilon)}. \quad (21)$$

For $(p, q) = (2, 1)$, (21) gives $R = \left(\frac{k}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1/\varepsilon}$ and using the value in (20) we obtain

$$\|q_k(z)\|_{\Gamma_\alpha}^{1/k} < \frac{e^{k/\varepsilon} \left(\frac{k}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1/km\varepsilon} \|Q\|_{\Gamma_\alpha} \left(\frac{e^{k\varepsilon}}{e^\varepsilon - 1}\right)}{\left(k/\varepsilon\right)^{1/\varepsilon}}$$

or

$$k^{1/\varepsilon} \left(\|q_k(z)\|_{\Gamma_\alpha} \right)^{1/k} < \infty. \quad (22)$$

For $(p, q) = (2, 2)$ we see that $\log R = \left(\frac{k}{1/m + \varepsilon}\right)^{1/(1/m + \varepsilon)}$ satisfies (20) and (21) is reduced to

$$\log \left(\|q_k(z)\|_{\Gamma_\alpha} \right)^{-1/k} > (1 + O(1)) \left(\frac{k}{1/m + \varepsilon} \right)^{1/(1/m + \varepsilon)},$$

which gives

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k^{1/(1/m + \varepsilon)}}{\log \left(\|q_k(z)\|_{\Gamma_\alpha} \right)^{-1/k}} \leq 1. \quad (23)$$

Finally, for $p, q \neq (2, 1)$ and $p, q \neq (2, 2)$, (20) and (21) yield

$$\log^{[q-1]} \left(\|q_k(z)\|_{\Gamma_\alpha} \right)^{-1/k} > (1 + O(1)) \left(\log^{[p-2]} \frac{k}{\varepsilon} \right)^{1/\varepsilon}, \quad p > q,$$

or

$$\log^{[q-1]} \left(\|q_k(z)\|_{\Gamma_\alpha} \right)^{-1/k} > (1 + O(1)) \left(\log^{[p-2]} \frac{k}{(1/m + \varepsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{1/m + \varepsilon}}, \quad p = q.$$

Thus for all $p \geq q \geq 3$,

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left(\log^{[p-2]} k \right)^{1/(1/m + \varepsilon)}}{\log^{[q-1]} \left(\|q_k(z)\|_{\Gamma_\alpha} \right)^{-1/k}} \leq 1. \quad (24)$$

Combining (22), (23) and (24) we get

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left(\log^{[p-2]} k \right)^\delta}{\log^{[q-1]} \left(\|q_k(z)\|_{\Gamma_\alpha} \right)^{-1/k}} \leq \infty, \quad (25)$$

for every $\delta > 0$.

If limit superior in (25) is finite and positive for some $\delta > 0$ then for every $\delta^* > 0$, we have

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left(\log^{[p-2]} k\right)^{\delta+\delta^*}}{\log^{[q-1]} \left(\|q_k(z)\|_{\Gamma_\alpha}\right)^{-1/k}} = \infty.$$

This is a contradiction to what we obtain in (25) and hence the first part is proved.

For the second part putting $T^*(p, q, f) = 0$ in Theorem 4 and we get the required result. Hence the proof is complete. \square

Similarly we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 6. *If $f(z) \in L^s(B)$ can be extended to be an entire function of (p, q) -order $\rho(p, q, f)$ such that $\rho(p, q, f) = b$, then for ever $\delta > 0$,*

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left(\log^{[p-2]} k\right)^\delta}{\log^{[q-1]} \left(E_\xi^s(f)\right)^{-1/k}} = 0.$$

Further, if $\rho(p, q, f) > b$ and $f(z)$ is of minimal generalized (p, q) -type, then

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\phi\left(\log^{[p-2]} k\right)}{\log^{[q-1]} \left(E_\xi^s(f)\right)^{-1/k}} = 0.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Boas, R.P., *Entire Functions, Chapter 2*, Academic Press, New York, 1954.
- [2] Juneja, O.P., *On the coefficients of entire series*, J. Analysis Math. **24**(1971), 395-401.
- [3] Juneja, O.P. and Kapoor, G.P., *Polynomial coefficients of entire series*, Yokohama Math. J. **22**(1974), 125-133.
- [4] Juneja, O.P., Kapoor G.P. and Bajpai, S.K., *On the (p, q) -order and lower (p, q) -order of an entire function*, J. Reine Angew Math. **282**(1976), 53-67.
- [5] Juneja, O.P., Kapoor G.P. and Bajpai, S.K., *On the (p, q) -order and lower (p, q) -type of an entire function*, J. Reine Angew Math. **290**(1977), 180-190.
- [6] Kasana, H.S., *The generalized type of entire Functions with index pair (p, q)* , Comment. Math. Prac. Mat.(2) **29** (1990), 215-222.
- [7] Kumar, D., *Approximation error and generalized orders of a entire function*, Tamsui Oxford J. Math. Sci, 25 (2) (2009), 225-235.
- [8] Kumar, D. and Kaur, H., *L^p - approximation error and generalized growth parameters of analytic functions in Caratheodory omain*, International J.of Mathematical Analysis, 3 No.**30**(2009), 1461-1472.
- [9] Nandan, K., Doherey R.P. and Srivastava, R.S.L., *Proximate order of an entire function with index-pair (p, q)* , Indian J. Pure Appl. Math **11**(1980), 33-39.
- [10] Rice, J.R., *The degree of convergence for entire functions*, Duke Math. J **38**(1971), 429-440.
- [11] Sato, D., *On the rate of growth of entire functions of fast growth*, Bull Amer. Math. Soc. **69**(1963), 411-414.
- [12] Walsh, J.L., *Interpolation and Approximation*, Colloquim Publications, Vol. **20**, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, R.I, 1960.
- [13] Walsh, J.L., *Interpolation and Approximation by Rational Functions in the Complex Domain*, Colloquim Publications, Vol. **20**, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, R.I, 1969.
- [14] Winiarski, T.N., *Approximation and interpolation of entire functions*, Ann. Polon. Math. **23**(1970), 259-273.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
ALIGARH MUSLIM UNIVERSITY
ALIGARH - 202002, INDIA
E-mail address: huzoorkhan@yahoo.com

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS
ALIGARH MUSLIM UNIVERSITY
ALIGARH - 202002, INDIA
E-mail address: rifaqat.ali1@gmail.com