

**ON  $q$ -HAHN'S THEOREM: A  $q$ -EXTENSION**

L. KHÉRIJI AND P. MARONI

ABSTRACT. We give a  $q$ -analogue of Hahn's theorem and a  $q$ -extension for it; we show that an orthogonal polynomial sequence, whose  $m$ -th associated sequence of  $k$ -th  $q$ -derivative sequence is orthogonal, is necessarily a  $H_q$ -classical one, where  $H_q$  is the  $q$ -difference operator.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS

In [8] the authors give a new proof of Hahn's theorem [1, 2, 5, 10], which states that an orthogonal sequence, whose derivative sequence of any finite order is orthogonal, is necessarily a  $D$ -classical sequence where  $D$  is the derivative operator. They also give an extension to Hahn's theorem making orthogonality assumption on  $m$ -th associated sequence of  $k$ -th derivative sequence and this still leads to  $D$ -classical forms.

In this paper, first we give a  $q$ -analog theorem to Hahn's one with replacing the derivative operator  $D$  by the  $q$ -difference operator  $H_q$  (see [3]). This theorem (see Theorem 1 below) characterizes the  $H_q$ -classical orthogonal polynomials: Al Salam-Carlitz,  $q$ -Laguerre,  $q$ -Meixner, ... [3]. Second, we establish an extension to that theorem making orthogonality assumption on  $m$ -th associated sequence of  $k$ -th  $q$ -derivative sequence and this still leads to  $H_q$ -classical forms (see Theorem 2 below).

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the vector space of polynomials with coefficients in  $\mathbb{C}$  and let  $\mathcal{P}'$  be its topological dual. We denote by  $\langle u, f \rangle$  the effect of  $u \in \mathcal{P}'$  on  $f \in \mathcal{P}$ . In particular, we denote by  $(u)_n := \langle u, x^n \rangle$ ,  $n \geq 0$  the moments of  $u$ . Let us introduce some useful operations in  $\mathcal{P}'$ . For any form  $u$ , any polynomial  $g$  and any  $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\} \times \mathbb{C}^2$ , we let  $gu$ ,  $h_a u$ ,  $\tau_b u$  and  $\delta_c$ , be the forms defined by duality

$$\begin{aligned} \langle gu, f \rangle &:= \langle u, gf \rangle, \langle h_a u, f \rangle := \langle u, h_a f \rangle, f \in \mathcal{P}, \\ \langle \tau_b u, f \rangle &:= \langle u, \tau_b f \rangle, \langle \delta_c, f \rangle := f(c), f \in \mathcal{P}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $(h_a f)(x) = f(ax)$  and  $(\tau_b f)(x) = f(x + b)$  [7].

Let  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  be a sequence of monic polynomials with  $\deg P_n = n$ ,  $n \geq 0$  (polynomial sequence : PS) and let  $\{u_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  be its dual sequence,  $u_n \in \mathcal{P}'$  defined by  $\langle u_n, P_m \rangle := \delta_{n,m}$ ,  $n, m \geq 0$ .

We call associated sequence of  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  (with respect to  $u_0$ ), the sequence  $\{P_n^{(1)}\}_{n \geq 0}$  defined by

$$P_n^{(1)}(x) := \left\langle u_0, \frac{P_{n+1}(x) - P_{n+1}(\xi)}{x - \xi} \right\rangle, n \geq 0.$$

Any polynomial  $P_n^{(1)}$  is monic and  $\deg P_n^{(1)} = n$ .

The Hahn's operator  $H_q$  is defined in the linear space  $\mathcal{P}$  in the following way [3]

$$(H_q f)(x) = \frac{f(qx) - f(x)}{(q-1)x}, f \in \mathcal{P}, q \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}, \tag{1}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 33C45, 42C05.

*Key words and phrases.*  $q$ -difference operator,  $q$ -classical forms,  $q$ -Hahn's Theorem.

where  $\tilde{\mathbb{C}} := \mathbb{C} - \left( \{0\} \cup \left( \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \{z \in \mathbb{C}, z^n = 1\} \right) \right)$ . By duality, the image of a form using this operator  $H_q$  is a form such that [3]

$$\langle H_q u, f \rangle = -\langle u, H_q f \rangle, \forall f \in \mathcal{P}. \quad (2)$$

In particular, this yields

$$(H_q u)_n = -[n]_q (u)_{n-1}, n \geq 0, \quad (3)$$

where  $(u)_{-1} = 0$  and  $[n]_q := \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1}, n \geq 0$ . The dual sequence  $\{u_n^{[1]}(q)\}_{n \geq 0}$  of  $\{P_n^{[1]}(\cdot; q)\}_{n \geq 0}$  where  $P_n^{[1]}(\cdot; q) := \frac{H_q P_{n+1}}{[n+1]_q}, n \geq 0$ , is given by [3]

$$H_q \left( u_n^{[1]}(q) \right) = -[n+1]_q u_{n+1}, n \geq 0. \quad (4)$$

More generally, we can define  $\{P_n^{[k]}\}_{n \geq 0}$  where  $P_n^{[k]}(x) := \frac{(H_q P_{n+1}^{[k-1]})(x)}{[n+1]_q}, n \geq 0$  for  $k \geq 1$  and we have

$$H_q \left( u_n^{[k]} \right) = -[n+1]_q u_{n+1}^{[k-1]}, n \geq 0, k \geq 1.$$

Likewise, the dual sequence  $\{\tilde{u}_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  of  $\{\tilde{P}_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  with  $\tilde{P}_n(x) := a^{-n} P_n(ax), n \geq 0, a \neq 0$  is given by

$$\tilde{u}_n = a^n h_{a^{-1}} u_n, n \geq 0. \quad (5)$$

In the sequel, we shall need the following formulas [3]:

**Lemma 1.** *We have*

$$H_q(gu) = (h_{q^{-1}}g) H_q u + q^{-1} (H_{q^{-1}}g) u, u \in \mathcal{P}', g \in \mathcal{P}, \quad (6)$$

$$H_q \circ h_{q^{-1}} = q^{-1} H_{q^{-1}}, h_{q^{-1}} \circ H_q = H_{q^{-1}} \text{ in } \mathcal{P}. \quad (7)$$

$$H_q \circ h_a = ah_a \circ H_q \text{ in } \mathcal{P}, a \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}. \quad (8)$$

The form  $u$  is called *regular* if we can associate with it a sequence  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  such that

$$\langle u, P_m P_n \rangle = r_n \delta_{n,m}, n, m \geq 0; r_n \neq 0, n \geq 0.$$

The sequence  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is then said orthogonal with respect to  $u$ . Necessarily,  $u = \lambda u_0$ ,  $\lambda \neq 0$  and  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is an (OPS) whose any polynomial can be supposed monic (MOPS). In this case, we have  $u_n = r_n^{-1} P_n u_0, n \geq 0$  and conversely. Also, the (MOPS)  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  fulfils a second-order recurrence relation (see (15) below) [6].

Let  $\Phi$  monic and  $\Psi$  be two polynomials,  $\deg \Phi = t, \deg \Psi = p \geq 1$  and let  $s = \max(p-1, t-2)$ . We suppose that the pair  $(\Phi, \Psi)$  is *admissible*, i.e. when  $p = t-1$ , writing  $\Psi(x) = a_p x^p + \dots$ , then  $a_p \neq [n+1]_q, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

A form  $u$  is called  $H_q$ -semiclassical when it is regular and satisfies the equation

$$H_q(\Phi u) + \Psi u = 0. \quad (9)$$

Also, the corresponding orthogonal sequence  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is called  $H_q$ -semiclassical. When  $s = 0$ , we have  $H_q$ -classical orthogonal polynomials (see [3, 4]).

2.  $q$ -HAHN'S THEOREM

First two lemmas.

**Lemma 2.** For any  $u \in \mathcal{P}'$ ,  $g \in \mathcal{P}$ , we have

$$H_q^n ((h_{q^n} g) u) = \sum_{\nu=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ \nu \end{bmatrix}_q q^{\frac{1}{2}(\nu-1)\nu} (H_q^\nu g) (H_q^{n-\nu} u), n \geq 0, \quad (10)$$

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ \nu \end{bmatrix}_q := \frac{[n]_q!}{[\nu]_q! [n-\nu]_q!}, 0 \leq \nu \leq n. \quad (11)$$

It is easy to prove this lemma by induction on account of lemma 1.

**Lemma 3.** [7, 9] Let  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  be a  $H_q$ -semiclassical sequence, orthogonal with respect to  $u_0$ . Suppose that  $u_0$  fulfils the two equations

$$\begin{cases} H_q(\Phi_1 u_0) + \Psi_1 u_0 = 0 \\ H_q(\Phi_2 u_0) + \Psi_2 u_0 = 0 \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

and there exist an integer  $m \geq 0$  and four polynomials  $E, F, G, H$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \Phi_1(x) = E(x) P_{m+1}(x) + F(x) P_m(x) \\ \Phi_2(x) = G(x) P_{m+1}(x) + H(x) P_m(x) \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

Let  $\Delta$  be the determinant of the system (13)

$$\Delta(x) = \begin{vmatrix} E(x) & F(x) \\ G(x) & H(x) \end{vmatrix}. \quad (14)$$

Then if one of the following conditions is fulfilled, the form  $u_0$  is  $H_q$ -classical:

- a)  $\exists i = 1, 2$  such that  $\deg \Psi_i \leq \deg \Phi_i - 1$  and  $\deg \Delta = 2$ .
- b)  $\exists i = 1, 2$  such that  $\deg \Psi_i = \deg \Phi_i$  and  $\deg \Delta = 1$ .
- c)  $\exists i = 1, 2$  such that  $\deg \Psi_i = \deg \Phi_i + 1$  and  $\deg \Delta = 0$ .

*Proof.* From (13), we have

$$\Delta(x) P_{m+1}(x) = \begin{vmatrix} \Phi_1(x) & F(x) \\ \Psi_1(x) & H(x) \end{vmatrix}, \quad \Delta(x) P_m(x) = \begin{vmatrix} E(x) & \Phi_2(x) \\ G(x) & \Psi_2(x) \end{vmatrix}.$$

This implies that any common factor of  $\Phi_1$  and  $\Phi_2$  is a factor of  $\Delta$ ; in particular, the highest common factor of  $\Phi_1, \Phi_2$ , say  $\Phi$ , is a factor of  $\Delta$ . But, we know [4] that there exists

a polynomial  $\Psi$  such that  $H_q(\Phi u_0) + \Psi u_0 = 0$ , where  $\Psi$  is given by  $\Psi_i + q^{-1} \Phi \left( H_{q^{-1}} \overset{\vee}{\Phi}_i \right) = \Psi \left( h_{q^{-1}} \overset{\vee}{\Phi}_i \right)$ ,  $\Phi_i = \Phi \overset{\vee}{\Phi}_i$ .

We have  $\max \left( \deg \Phi + \deg \overset{\vee}{\Phi}_i - 1, \deg \Psi_i \right) = \deg \Psi + \deg \overset{\vee}{\Phi}_i$ , with  $\deg \Phi_i = \deg \Phi +$

$\deg \overset{\vee}{\Phi}_i$ ,  $\max \left( \deg \Phi_i - 1, \deg \Psi_i \right) = \deg \Psi + \deg \Phi_i - \deg \Phi$ .

In the case a), we have  $\deg \Phi_i - 1 = \deg \Psi + \deg \Phi_i - \deg \Phi$ . It follows  $\deg \Psi = \deg \Phi - 1 \geq 1$ , since  $u_0$  is regular, therefore  $\deg \Phi \geq 2$ . But  $\deg \Phi \leq 2$  by virtue of the assumption. Consequently  $\deg \Phi = 2$  and  $\deg \Psi = 1$ . The form  $u_0$  is either *Alternative  $q$ -Charlier*, *Stieltjes-Wigert*, *Little  $q$ -Jacobi*,  *$q$ -Charlier-II*-, *Generalized Stieltjes-Wigert* or *Big  $q$ -Jacobi*, *Bi-generalized Stieltjes-Wigert* [3].

In the case b), we have  $\deg \Phi_i = \deg \Psi + \deg \Phi_i - \deg \Phi$ , hence  $\deg \Psi = \deg \Phi \geq 1$ . But  $\deg \Phi \leq 1$ , therefore  $\deg \Phi = 1$  and  $\deg \Psi = 1$ . The form  $u_0$  is either *Big  $q$ -Laguerre*,  *$q$ -Meixner* or *Wall*,  *$q$ -Laguerre*, *Little  $q$ -Laguerre* [3].

Finally, in the third case, we get  $\deg \Phi_i + 1 = \deg \Psi + \deg \Phi_i - \deg \Phi$ , this implies  $\deg \Psi = \deg \Phi + 1$  with  $\deg \Phi = 0$ . It is the Al-Salam Carlitz case [3].  $\square$

Then the claim is the following [2, 8]

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  be an orthogonal sequence; there exists an integer  $k \geq 1$  such that  $\{P_n^{[k]}\}_{n \geq 0}$  is also orthogonal. Then  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is a  $H_q$ -classical sequence.*

*Proof.* For the sake of simplicity, let us denote  $Q_n(x) := P_n^{[k]}(x)$  and  $\{v_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  the dual sequence of  $\{Q_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  ( $v_n = u_n^{[k]}$ ).

On account of assumptions, we can write the following recurrence relations

$$\begin{cases} P_0(x) = 1, P_1(x) = x - \beta_0, \\ P_{n+2}(x) = (x - \beta_{n+1})P_{n+1}(x) - \gamma_{n+1}P_n(x), n \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

$$\begin{cases} Q_0(x) = 1, Q_1(x) = x - \zeta_0, \\ Q_{n+2}(x) = (x - \zeta_{n+1})Q_{n+1}(x) - \rho_{n+1}Q_n(x), n \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

Equivalently, we also have [7]

$$u_n = (\langle u_0, P_n^2 \rangle)^{-1} P_n u_0, n \geq 0, \quad (17)$$

$$(x - \zeta_n)v_n = v_{n-1} + \rho_{n+1}v_{n+1}, n \geq 0, v_{-1} = 0. \quad (18)$$

By applying  $H_q$   $k$  times the two sides of (18) and with (10), we get

$$q^{-k} [k]_q H_q^{k-1} v_n = H_q^k v_{n-1} + \rho_{n+1} H_q^k v_{n+1} - (q^{-k} x - \zeta_n) H_q^k v_n, n \geq 0.$$

But, since  $v_n = u_n^{[k]}$ , we easily see that

$$H_q^k v_n = (-1)^k \prod_{\mu=1}^k [n + \mu]_q u_{n+k}, n \geq 0. \quad (19)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^k q^{-k} [k]_q H_q^{k-1} v_n &= \prod_{\mu=1}^k [n + \mu]_q \left\{ \frac{[n]_q}{[n + k]_q} u_{n-1+k} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{[n + k + 1]_q}{[n + 1]_q} \rho_{n+1} u_{n+1+k} - (q^{-k} x - \zeta_n) u_{n+k} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking account of (17) and (15), we obtain

$$H_q^{k-1} v_n = N_n^k \Phi_{n+k+1} u_0, n \geq 0, \quad (20)$$

where  $\Phi_{n+k+1}$  is monic and

$$\begin{aligned} N_n^k \Phi_{n+k+1}(x) &= L_n^k \left\{ \left( \frac{[n + k + 1]_q}{[n + 1]_q} \rho_{n+1} \gamma_{n+1+k}^{-1} - \frac{[n]_q}{[n + k]_q} \right) P_{n+k+1}(x) - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left( q^{-k} \frac{[k]_q}{[n + k]_q} x + \frac{[n]_q}{[n + k]_q} \beta_{n+k} - \zeta_n \right) P_{n+k}(x) \right\}, \\ L_n^k &= (-1)^k q^k \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q (\langle u_0, P_{n+k}^2 \rangle)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

From (20) and (19), we get

$$N_n^k H_q(\Phi_{n+k+1} u_0) = (-1)^k \prod_{\mu=1}^k [n + \mu]_q (\langle u_0, P_{n+k}^2 \rangle)^{-1} P_{n+k} u_0.$$

Hence

$$H_q(\Phi_{n+k+1}u_0) + \lambda_n^k P_{n+k} u_0 = 0, \quad n \geq 0, \quad (21)$$

with

$$\lambda_n^k = (-1)^{k-1} \prod_{\mu=1}^k [n + \mu]_q \left( \langle u_0, P_{n+k}^2 \rangle \right)^{-1} (N_n^k)^{-1}.$$

Without going into details, we can read

$$\Phi_{n+k+1}(x) = A_n^k P_{n+k+1}(x) - (B_n^k x + C_n^k) P_{n+k}(x), \quad n \geq 0. \quad (22)$$

In particular, for  $n = 0$  and  $n = 1$

$$\Phi_{k+1}(x) = A_0^k P_{k+1}(x) - (B_0^k x + C_0^k) P_k(x), \quad (23)$$

$$\Phi_{k+2}(x) = A_1^k P_{k+2}(x) - (B_1^k x + C_1^k) P_{k+1}(x). \quad (24)$$

Taking into account (15), (24) becomes

$$\Phi_{k+2}(x) = \{ (A_1^k - B_1^k)x - (A_1^k \beta_{k+1} + C_1^k) \} P_{k+1}(x) - A_1^k \gamma_{k+1} P_k(x). \quad (25)$$

Let us introduce the determinant  $\Delta$  of (23), (25) (see (14)). Since  $\deg \Delta \leq 2$ , the form  $u_0$  is  $H_q$ -classical by virtue of lemma 3.  $\square$

### 3. AN EXTENSION OF $q$ -HAHN'S THEOREM

First a lemma.

**Lemma 4.** [8] *Let  $\{Q_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  be any sequence with its dual sequence  $\{v_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ . Then, for any integer  $m \geq 1$ , the dual sequence  $\{v_n^{(m)}\}_{n \geq 0}$  of the associated sequence  $\{Q_n^{(m)}\}_{n \geq 0}$  fulfils*

$$v_n^{(m)} v_{m-1} = x v_{n+m}, \quad n \geq 0. \quad (26)$$

When  $\{Q_n^{(m)}\}_{n \geq 0}$  is orthogonal, the sequence  $\{v_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  fulfils

$$s_n^{(m)} v_{n+m} = Q_n^{(m)} v_m - Q_{n-1}^{(m+1)} v_{m-1}, \quad n \geq 0, \quad (27)$$

where

$$s_n^{(m)} = \left\langle v_0^{(m)}, \left( Q_n^{(m)} \right)^2 \right\rangle, \quad n \geq 0, \quad m \geq 1. \quad (28)$$

Now, we are going to characterize all orthogonal sequences  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  for which there exist two integer  $k, m \geq 1$  such that, putting  $P_n^{[k]} = Q_n$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , the associated sequence  $\{Q_n^{(m)}\}_{n \geq 0}$  is also orthogonal. When  $m = 0$ , it is  $q$ -Hahn's problem. When  $m \geq 1$ , the answer is giving by the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** [8] *Let  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  be an orthogonal sequence; for any integer  $k \geq 1$  fixed, let us put  $P_n^{[k]} := Q_n$ . Suppose that there exists an integer  $m \geq 1$  such that the associated sequence  $\{Q_n^{(m)}\}_{n \geq 0}$  is orthogonal. Then  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is a  $H_q$ -classical sequence.*

*Proof.* For simplifying, we put  $Q_n^{(m)} = R_n$  and  $Q_n^{(m+1)} = S_n$ . By applying  $H_q$   $k$  times both sides of (27) where  $n \rightarrow n+1$ , and taking into account (10) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\nu=1}^k \begin{bmatrix} k \\ \nu \end{bmatrix}_q q^{\frac{1}{2}(\nu-1)\nu} (H_q^\nu \circ h_{q^{-k}} R_{n+1}) (H_q^{k-\nu} v_m) \\ & \quad - \sum_{\nu=1}^k \begin{bmatrix} k \\ \nu \end{bmatrix}_q q^{\frac{1}{2}(\nu-1)\nu} (H_q^\nu \circ h_{q^{-k}} S_n) (H_q^{k-\nu} v_{m-1}) \\ & = s_{n+1}^{(m)} H_q^k v_{n+1+m} - (h_{q^{-k}} R_{n+1}) (H_q^k v_m) + (h_{q^{-k}} S_n) (H_q^k v_{m-1}). \end{aligned}$$

With (19), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\nu=1}^k \begin{bmatrix} k \\ \nu \end{bmatrix}_q q^{\frac{1}{2}(\nu-1)\nu} (H_q^\nu \circ h_{q^{-k}} R_{n+1}) (H_q^{k-\nu} v_m) \\ & \quad - \sum_{\nu=1}^k \begin{bmatrix} k \\ \nu \end{bmatrix}_q q^{\frac{1}{2}(\nu-1)\nu} (H_q^\nu \circ h_{q^{-k}} S_n) (H_q^{k-\nu} v_{m-1}) \\ & = A_{n+1+m+k} u_0, \quad n \geq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_{n+1+m+k} & = (-1)^k (\langle u_0, P_{m-1+k}^2 \rangle)^{-1} \left\{ L_n^{(m)}(k) P_{n+1+m+k} - \right. \\ & \quad - \frac{[m+k]_q!}{[m]_q!} \gamma_{m+k}^{-1} (h_{q^{-k}} R_{n+1}) P_{m+k} + \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{[m+k-1]_q!}{[m-1]_q!} (h_{q^{-k}} S_n) P_{m-1+k} \right\}, \quad n \geq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

$$L_n^{(m)}(k) = \prod_{\mu=1}^k [n+1+m+\mu]_q \frac{\langle u_0, P_{m-1+k}^2 \rangle}{\langle u_0, P_{n+1+m+k}^2 \rangle} \langle v_0^{(m)}, R_{n+1}^2 \rangle, \quad n \geq 0. \tag{31}$$

Taking  $n=0$  in (29) we get

$$q^{-k} [k]_q H_q^{k-1} v_m = A_{m+1+k} u_0. \tag{32}$$

By virtue of (32) the equality in (29) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\nu=2}^k \begin{bmatrix} k \\ \nu \end{bmatrix}_q q^{\frac{1}{2}(\nu-1)\nu} (H_q^\nu \circ h_{q^{-k}} R_{n+1}) (H_q^{k-\nu} v_m) - \\ & \quad - \sum_{\nu=2}^k \begin{bmatrix} k \\ \nu \end{bmatrix}_q q^{\frac{1}{2}(\nu-1)\nu} (H_q^\nu \circ h_{q^{-k}} S_n) (H_q^{k-\nu} v_{m-1}) \\ & = \{A_{n+1+m+k} - q^k (H_q \circ h_{q^{-k}} R_{n+1}) A_{m+1+k}\} u_0. \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

The choice  $n=1$  in (33) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & [k]_q [k-1]_q q^{1-2k} H_q^{k-2} v_m - [k]_q q^{-k} H_q^{k-1} v_{m-1} \\ & = \{A_{m+2+k} - q^k (H_q \circ h_{q^{-k}} R_2) A_{m+1+k}\} u_0. \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Applying the operator  $H_q$  to (32) and taking into account (17) and (19) we get

$$H_q(\Phi_1 u_0) + \lambda_1 P_{m+k} u_0 = 0, \tag{35}$$

where

$$N_1 \Phi_1 = A_{m+1+k},$$

$$\lambda_1 = (-1)^{k+1} q^{-k} [k]_q \frac{[m+k]_q!}{[m]_q!} (\langle u_0, P_{m+k}^2 \rangle)^{-1} N_1^{-1}.$$

Now, after applying  $H_q$  both sides of (34), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [k]_q [k-1]_q q^{1-2k} H_q^{k-1} v_m - [k]_q q^{-k} H_q^k v_{m-1} \\ = H_q (\{A_{m+2+k} - q^k (H_q \circ h_{q^{-k}} R_2) A_{m+1+k}\} u_0). \end{aligned}$$

Putting  $N_2 \Phi_2 = A_{m+2+k} - q^k (H_q \circ h_{q^{-k}} R_2) A_{m+1+k}$  and on account of (32) and (19), we get

$$H_q (\Phi_2 u_0) + \left\{ \lambda_2 P_{m-1+k} - [k-1]_q q^{1-k} N_2^{-1} A_{m+1+k} \right\} u_0 = 0 \quad (36)$$

where

$$\lambda_2 = (-1)^k [k]_q q^{-k} \frac{[m-1+k]_q!}{[m-1]_q!} (\langle u_0, P_{m-1+k}^2 \rangle)^{-1} N_2^{-1}.$$

Finally, with (15) we can express  $\Phi_1, \Phi_2$  as

$$\Phi_1(x) = E(x) P_{m+k}(x) + F(x) P_{m-1+k}(x); \quad \Phi_2(x) = G(x) P_{m+k}(x) + H(x) P_{m-1+k}(x)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E(x) &= (-1)^k (\langle u_0, P_{m-1+k}^2 \rangle)^{-1} N_1^{-1} \times \\ &\quad \left\{ (x - \beta_{m+k}) L_0^{(m)}(k) - \frac{[m+k]_q!}{[m]_q!} \gamma_{m+k}^{-1} (h_{q^{-k}} R_1)(x) \right\}, \\ F(x) &= (-1)^k (\langle u_0, P_{m-1+k}^2 \rangle)^{-1} N_1^{-1} \left\{ \frac{[m-1+k]_q!}{[m-1]_q!} - \gamma_{m+k} L_0^{(m)}(k) \right\}, \\ G(x) &= (-1)^k (\langle u_0, P_{m-1+k}^2 \rangle)^{-1} N_2^{-1} \times \\ &\quad \left\{ (x - \beta_{m+k}) \left( (x - \beta_{m+k+1}) L_1^{(m)}(k) - q^k (H_q \circ h_{q^{-k}} R_2)(x) L_0^{(m)}(k) \right) - \gamma_{m+k+1} L_1^{(m)}(k) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{[m+k]_q!}{[m]_q!} \gamma_{m+k}^{-1} (q^k (H_q \circ h_{q^{-k}} R_2)(x) (h_{q^{-k}} R_1)(x) - (h_{q^{-k}} R_2)(x)) \right\}, \\ H(x) &= (-1)^k (\langle u_0, P_{m-1+k}^2 \rangle)^{-1} N_2^{-1} \times \\ &\quad \left\{ \frac{[m-1+k]_q!}{[m-1]_q!} ((h_{q^{-k}} S_1)(x) - q^k (H_q \circ h_{q^{-k}} R_2)(x)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \gamma_{m+k} \left( (x - \beta_{m+k+1}) L_1^{(m)}(k) - q^k (H_q \circ h_{q^{-k}} R_2)(x) L_0^{(m)}(k) \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\deg \Delta \leq 2$  with  $\Delta$  given by (14), the linear form  $u$  is  $H_q$ -classical.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Hahn, W., *Über die Jacobischen Polynome und zwei verwandte Polynomklassen*, Math. Zeit. **39** (1935), 634-638.
- [2] Hahn, W., *Über höhere Ableitungen von Orthogonalpolynomen*, Ibid. **43** (1937), 101.
- [3] Khériji, L., Maroni, P., *The  $H_q$ - Classical Orthogonal Polynomials*, Acta. Appl. Math. **71** (1) (2002), 49-115.
- [4] Khériji, L., *An introduction to the  $H_q$ -semiclassical orthogonal polynomials*, Methods Appl. Anal. **10** (3) (2003), 387-411.
- [5] Krall, H. L., *On higher derivatives of orthogonal polynomials*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **42** (1936), 867-870.
- [6] Maroni, P., *L'orthogonalité et les récurrences de polynômes d'ordre supérieur à deux*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse **10** (1) (1989), 105-139.
- [7] Maroni, P., *Variations around classical orthogonal polynomials. Connected problems*, J. Comp. Appl. Math. **48** (1993), 133-155.
- [8] Maroni, P., da. Rocha, Z., *A new characterization of classical forms*, Commun. Appl. Anal. **5** (3) (2001), 351-362.
- [9] Maroni, P., da. Rocha, Z., *A new characterization of classical forms*, Private Communication.
- [10] Webster, M. S., *Orthogonal polynomials with orthogonal derivatives*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **44** (1938), 880-888.

INSTITUT SUPÉRIEUR DES SCIENCES APPLIQUÉES ET DE TECHNOLOGIE DE GABÈS, RUE OMAR  
IBN EL-KHATTAB 6072 GABÈS, TUNISIA.

*E-mail address:* kheriji@yahoo.fr

*E-mail address:* Lotfi.Kheriji@issatgb.rnu.tn

UNIVERSITÉ PIERRE ET MARIE CURIE-C.N.R.S.

LABORATOIRE D'ANALYSE NUMÉRIQUE

4 PLACE JUSSIEU, 75252 PARIS CEDEX 05. FRANCE

*E-mail address:* maroni@ann.jussieu.fr